

DISTANCE EDUCATION ACCREDITING COMMISSION

SECOND CALL FOR COMMENT ON ACCREDITATION HANDBOOK REORGANIZATION

August 20, 2015 - September 21, 2015

Dear Colleagues:

At its June 2015 meeting the Distance Education Accrediting Commission considered the written comments pertaining to the *DEAC Accreditation Handbook* reorganization that it received between April 13, 2015 and June 12, 2015. The comments DEAC received were quite thorough and exceptionally helpful to the process of review. Some comments focused on the process of submitting revised or new courses for review. Requirements for curriculum review and non-substantive changes were streamlined in response to these comments. Other comments suggested that the DEAC consider revisions to its mission and institutional planning requirements to more concisely express accreditation's expectations for improvement within the context of mission. Also, the Commission reviewed comments it received in response to its truth-in-lending Call for Comment dated March 3, 2015. The Commission carefully considered all comments and drafted additional changes to the *Handbook* that it finalized during a conference call held on August 14, 2015. The DEAC is now issuing another revised version of the *DEAC Accreditation Handbook* for a second comment period beginning on August 20, 2015 and continuing through September 21, 2015.

This Call for Comment is meant to gather *a second round of feedback* on the additional changes proposed for the *Accreditation Handbook*. After considering these written comments, the DEAC will likely adopt a final version of the *Accreditation Handbook* in early October.

To guide the process of review and comment, the follow list provides a summary of key areas where the Commission is proposing additional changes to the *Handbook*.

PART II: PROCESS AND PROCEDURES

- II.1.v. Eligibility Requirements
- II.3.a. Curricula Review for Institutions Seeking Initial Accreditation
- II.3.b. Curricula Review for Institutions Seeking Renewal of Accreditation
- II.13.f. Changes in Educational Offerings
- II.13.h. Addition of an In-Residence Program Component
- II.13.i. Engaging in Federal Student Assistance Programs
- II.14.f. Addition of Courses

PART III: ACCREDITATION STANDARDS, CORE COMPONENTS, AND IMPACT STATEMENTS

- III.1. Institutional Mission
- III.2. Institutional Effectiveness and Strategic Planning
- III.3.f. Credit Hour Definition
- III.3.i. Student Integrity and Academic Honesty
- III.8.d. Admissions Criteria
- III.8.g. Enrollment Agreements
- III.9.b. Cancellations
- III.10. Institutional Governance
- III.11.d. Financial Reporting

III.12. Facilities, Equipment, Supplies, Record Protection, and Retention

III.12.a. Facilities, Equipment, and Supplies

III.12.c. Record Retention

Note: If printing, the document orientation is landscape.

During this second call for comment period, please focus attention on new content and additions represented by <u>purple</u> font. The black font represents language adopted by the Commission during its June 2015 meeting. While the language adopted by the Commission is not the focus of this 30-day call for comment period, please provide comment or feedback if current language indicates further attention is necessary for clarification. Please submit all comments and feedback using only the Reorganized *Accreditation Handbook* Call-for-Comment Form. Email the completed form to Call4Comment@deac.org.

An *Accreditation Handbook* presentation is planned for the upcoming Fall Workshop in Palm Springs, California and we look forward to seeing you there.

Attached in the documents that follow are additional instructions for reviewing and submitting comments, due by **September 21, 2015**. Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

Leah K. Matthews Executive Director



Accreditation Handbook

January 2016 23rd Edition

Procedures and Standards of the Distance Education Accrediting Commission

DEAC Accreditation Handbook Copyright ©2016 by the Distance Education Accrediting Commission. All rights reserved.

Published By: Distance Education Accrediting Commission

1107 17th Street NW, Suite 808 Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: 202.234.5100; Fax: 202.332.1386

Website: www.deac.org

First Edition: January 1990 23rd Edition: January 2016

Since 1995, the DEAC Accreditation Handbook has been updated annually in January.

Downloadable PDF files of all documents contained with the DEAC Accreditation Handbook can be found on DEAC's website (http://www.deac.org/Seeking-Accreditation/The-DEAC-Accrediting-Handbook.aspx).

Accredited institutions must be in full compliance by XX-XX-XXXX with any revisions or additions to the 23rd Edition of the DEAC Accreditation Handbook.

The independent Distance Education Accrediting Commission is listed by the United States Department of Education as a "nationally recognized accrediting agency." DEAC is also a recognized member of the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA). The United States Department of Education and CHEA recognition is for postsecondary program purposes only.



Table of Contents

I. PART ONE: INTRODUCTION

About DEAC

What is Distance Education?

About Accreditation

Why Become Accredited?

What is DEAC Accreditation and Its Benefits?

DEAC Today

II. PART TWO: PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES

- 1) Eligibility Requirements
- 2) Application, Self-Evaluation, and Readiness Assessment
- 3) Curricula Review
- 4) Consideration of Third Party Information
- 5) Onsite Evaluation
- 6) The Chair's Report and Institution's Response
- 7) Commission Actions
- 8) Appealing Commission's Adverse Decision
- 9) Binding Arbitration
- 10) Notification and Information Sharing
- 11) Notifications
- 12) Petitions and Waivers
- 13) Substantive Changes
 - a. Change of Core Mission
 - b. Change of Name
 - c. Change in Legal Status, Form of Control, or Ownership of Institution
 - d. Change in Location
 - e. New Administrative Site

- f. Change in Educational Offerings
- g. Change in Academic Units of Measurement
- h. Addition of an In-Residence Program Component
- i. Engaging in Federal Student Assistance Programs
- j. Engaging in International Activities
- 14) Non-Substantive Changes
- 15) Teach-Out Plans
- 16) Annual Reports
- 17) Complaint Procedures
- 18) Unethical Behavior
- 19) Reviewing, Adopting, and Circulating Changes to the Accreditation Handbook

III. PART THREE: ACCREDITATION STANDARDS, CORE COMPONENTS, AND IMPACT STATEMENTS

- 1) Institutional Mission
- 2) Institutional Effectiveness and Strategic Planning
- 3) Program Outcomes, Curricula, and Materials
- 4) Educational and Student Support Services
- 5) Student Achievement and Satisfaction
- 6) Academic Leadership and Faculty Qualifications
- 7) Advertising, Promotional Literature, and Recruitment Personnel
- 8) Admission Practices and Enrollment Agreements
- 9) Financial Disclosures, Cancellations, and Refund Policies
- 10) Institutional Governance
- 11) Financial Responsibility
- 12) Facilities, Equipment, Supplies, Record Protection and Retention

IV. PART FOUR: APPENDICES (COMING SOON)

- 1) Glossary
- 2) Substantive Change Flow Charts

The Color/Symbol Legend for Part III

Commission Adopted Language: Black

New Content/Additions: Purple Strikethrough: Proposed Deletion Underline: Proposed Addition



PART I: INTRODUCTION

ABOUT DEAC

Accreditation in education began over a century ago. The movement started as a public reaction to the extreme differences between educational institutions that initially appeared to be similar. Accrediting bodies were voluntarily organized by educators to develop and implement common standards and procedures to measure educational quality. From its inception, accreditation has been a non-governmental, completely voluntary, peer group method of identifying educational institutions or programs which meet published standards of quality. A variety of regional, national, and professional accrediting organizations came into being in the early 1900s in response to the public's demand for reliable indicators of institutional quality. The Distance Education Accrediting Commission (DEAC) was founded in 1926 as an association under the name "National Home Study Council" to promote education quality and ethical business practices for correspondence education programs. In 1955, the Accrediting Commission was established. It created and implemented accreditation standards and procedures to examine and approve distance learning institutions. In 1959, the Accrediting Commission received its first grant of federal recognition and was listed by the U.S. Commissioner (now Secretary) of Education as a nationally recognized accreditor. In 1994, the name of the organization changed from the National Home Study Council to the Distance Education Accrediting Commission.

VISION

The Distance Education Accrediting Commission is the preeminent accrediting organization for distance education delivered worldwide that sets high standards for academic quality inspiring excellence in teaching, learning, and student outcomes through voluntary assessment and peer review.

MISSION

Assuring students high quality distance education through accreditation, peer review, and institutional improvement.

PURPOSE OF THE ACCREDITATION HANDBOOK

DEAC has prepared this Accreditation Handbook as a compilation of its processes, procedures, and standards. It is intended to assist institutions in understanding and preparing for evaluation by DEAC. Institutions should use it as they organize and conduct their self-evaluations, as they evaluate their readiness to meet the rigors inherent in voluntary accreditation, and as they work to maintain the standards of DEAC. Finally, this Accreditation Handbook offers guidance to newly established distance education institutions seeking to build or refine their policies and practices, whether or not they apply for DEAC accreditation. Evaluator's Rating Forms, Guide to Self-Evaluation, Applications, and Report Forms are found on DEAC's website at www.deac.org. Please note: Institutions should always check the website for the most up-to-date versions of these documents.

WHAT IS DISTANCE EDUCATION?

Distance education, also known as online education, correspondence education, or Internet-based learning, is designed for learners who live at a distance from residential educational providers and/or institutions. Distance education has evolved in recent years to also include an increasing number of adult-learners who may be within reasonable proximity to a residential campus, but because of work and personal responsibilities are unable to regularly attend a physical campus. Additionally, these adult-learners consider themselves to be self-starters and more independent students who thrive in an environment which provides a balance between flexibility and structure.

Distance education has a rich history dating back to the early 18th century when its predominant medium of instruction was printed materials which were mailed to individual students and allowed for little to no interaction with faculty members. Distance education today has taken advantage of technological innovations and has become a multi-faceted avenue for providing instruction through various mediums to meet the learning needs of a diverse, growing student population. Educational institutions can reach across borders and extend globally to build strong learner communities through the use of technological tools such as social media outlets, podcasts, various forms of asynchronous and synchronous communication, and videoconferencing. Advancements within the field of distance education have provided an increasing population of students the opportunity to earn degrees and gain knowledge and skills in various subject areas.

For institutions participating in Federal Student Assistance programs, the U.S. Department of Education defines distance education as "education that uses one or more of the technologies listed in paragraphs (1) through (4) to deliver instruction to students who are separated from the instructor and to support regular and substantive interaction between the students and the instructor, either synchronously or asynchronously (see CFR 34, § 602.3). The technologies may include:

- 1) The Internet;
- 2) One-way and two-way transmissions through open broadcast, closed circuit, cable, microwave, broadband lines, fiber optics, satellite, or wireless communication devices;
- 3) Audio-conferencing; or
- 4) Video cassettes, DVDs, and CD-ROMs, if the cassettes, DVDs, or CD-ROMs are used in a course in conjunction with any of the technologies listed in paragraphs (1) through (3)."

Based on this definition by the U.S. Department of Education, institutions that deliver instruction through correspondence education are not eligible to participate in federal student aid. Correspondence education is defined by the U.S. Department of Education "means:

- 1) Education provided through one or more courses by an institution under which the institution provides instructional materials, by mail or electronic transmission, including examinations on the materials, to students who are separated from the instructor;
- 2) Interaction between the instructor and the student is limited, is not regular and substantive, and is primarily initiated by the student;
- 3) Correspondence courses are typically self-pace; and
- 4) Correspondence education is not distance education."

DEAC limits eligibility to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs to institutions that demonstrate substantive interaction between the students and instructor/faculty. DEAC's scope of accreditation extends to both distance education and correspondence education institutions.

For the purposes of the *DEAC Accreditation Handbook*, the term "distance education" is used throughout whether educational delivery is via correspondence instruction, online instruction, or competency assessment. [Accreditation Handbook – Page 5-6]

WHY BECOME ACCREDITED?

Accreditation communicates quality to students, institutions, the public, government, and other industry professionals. Accreditation provides assurances that a program has met established standards necessary to produce graduates who have achieved stated learning outcomes and are ready to enter the global marketplace. Students who graduate from accredited institutions have greater opportunities for employment, continued education, and mobility.

Generally, accreditation in other countries is controlled by the government and is oftentimes required. By contrast, accreditation in the United States is a voluntary, peer-review process and is carried out by non-governmental, non-profit organizations. The peer-review

process allows institutions to be <u>evaluated by other education professionals working in the industry who understand the needs and demands from a shared perspective.</u> Additionally, the peer-review process provides checks and balances from within the industry to allow institutions to have an opportunity to meet students' educational goals by using a variety of resources while ensuring quality programs.

WHAT IS DEAC ACCREDITATION AND ITS BENEFITS?

Distance education is not a new idea or concept, but has been around since the early 18th century in Europe and early 19th century in the United States predominantly through print-based correspondence programs. These pioneering students who took advantage of distance education were mostly individuals living in rural or remote areas who did not have access to residential educational institutions. Another growing population of students came from members of the military. A number of correspondence education institutions saw a significant increase after World War II as returning service members continued to pursue their educational goals.

With the increasing focus on distance education, it was important that the public have confidence in the quality of education provided by institutions. Given the unique nature of distance education delivery, accreditation expectations for residential education programs were not always appropriate to effectively evaluate education quality for distance education; therefore, accrediting organizations, such as the Distance Education Accrediting Commission, formed to offer an accreditation oversight process specific to meet the unique quality assurance needs of distance education institutions.

Today, institutions offering distance education curricula use a variety of innovative technologies. Despite the various advantages provided by distance education, students and the public need assurances that the credential earned has marketplace value and that students have access to all related services necessary to support their success. DEAC accreditation standards establish education quality expectations and assess an institution's ability to integrate technology to meet the needs of 21st century graduates and employers. DEAC standards are designed to accommodate the need for institutions to explore learning resources and student support services beyond those of traditional campus-based institutions. Additionally, DEAC accreditation recognizes that by meeting the unique needs of the distance learning student, course and program delivery can expand an institution's reach beyond a regional focus to a national and international presence. When accredited distance education institutions are successful students can benefit, regardless of geographic location, and organize their studies to fit within personal life commitments.

DEAC accredited institutions encompass a broad variety of educational offerings through distance education. DEAC institutions may be organized as for-profit degree granting or non-degree granting, non-profit degree and non-degree granting, faith-based institutions, and as training and educational providers that offer students more choices to meet their educational goals. Students can choose the institutions that best meet their needs while being provided assurances that the chosen program, when appropriately accredited, is commensurate in quality to other traditional programs offered in their home state or country.

DEAC accreditation provides quality assurance to students (prospective, current, and graduates), institutions, the public, government, and industry professionals:

FOR STUDENTS, DEAC ACCREDITATION...

- Provides students with confidence that the institution offering programs, ranging from high school through the professional doctoral degree and non-degree certificates, have been evaluated and meet rigorous standards established by education industry professionals.
- Increases and enhances employment opportunities for students who graduate from an accredited institution. <u>Graduates want</u> assurances that upon completion of the program, they have the requisite knowledge and skills to meet their educational goals.
- <u>Verifies the</u> unique methods for delivering curricula <u>are consistent with educational best practices and provides</u> students with assurances that the education they are paying for is valuable and worth their time, money, and effort.
- Allows institutions to prepare students by supporting their achievement of knowledge, skills, and abilities to be productive individuals who contribute to their community and continue lifelong learning.

FOR INSTITUTIONS, DEAC ACCREDITATION...

- Allows an institution to receive public recognition for the quality programs and services offered to students.
- Promotes the integration and continuous improvement of "best practices" in support of student achievement and institutional growth.
- Documents that an institution is true to its mission, goals, and objectives by measuring the achievement of each for purposes of continuous self-assessment.
- Allows institutions to be eligible for and apply for various professional and programmatic accreditations.
- Allows institutions the option of participating in Federal Student Assistance and military programs to benefit students in need of financial assistance to realize their educational goals.
- Allows institutions to apply for approval in some states that only allow accredited institutions.

FOR THE PUBLIC, DEAC ACCREDITATION...

- Provides a consistent and reliable indicator that institutions meet standards of quality and validation of credibility through a structured peer review process.
- Promotes accountability to other member institutions and various stakeholders.
- Supports and encourages the innovation and use of technology by emphasizing continuous improvement processes to assure institutions and graduates can compete in a global economy.

FOR GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY PROFESSIONALS, DEAC ACCREDITATION...

- Provides an opportunity for industry professionals to <u>offer input and observations that</u> reflect current and future employment needs in a changing global economy.
- Demonstrates to various federal and state regulators that accredited institutions are leaders in the field of distance education and strive to prepare a workforce equipped to contribute to the changing economic landscape.
- Provides the opportunity for member institutions to lead the change necessary in the field of education by offering students the chance to increase their knowledge and skills while meeting their personal and professional responsibilities.

DEAC TODAY

The DEAC is recognized by the U.S. Secretary of Education and by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA) as an institutional accrediting organization for postsecondary distance education institutions that offer programs primarily by the distance education method from the non-degree, high school, postsecondary, and higher education including the professional doctoral degree.

DEAC's goals are to assure a high standard of educational quality in the distance education institutions it accredits by requiring compliance with its published standards and procedures and by fostering continual self-improvement. DEAC is dedicated to ensuring a quality education for more than two million students who annually study at its accredited institutions.

RECOGNITION BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

DEAC initially received federal recognition in 1959 and has continually held recognition by the United States Department of Education ever since. Federal recognition aims to assure that accreditors meet expectations for institutional and program participation in federal activities, such as federal financial aid programs. Currently, the federal recognition process is largely carried out by the National Advisory Committee for Institutional Quality and Integrity (NACIQI). The NACIQI provides recommendations to the United States Secretary of Education concerning whether accreditation standards are sufficiently rigorous and effective toward ensuring that a recognized accreditor is a reliable authority regarding the quality of the education provided by the institution it accredits. In 2012, NACIQI recommended to the Secretary of Education that DEAC receive recognition through 2017. DEAC's scope of recognition by the Secretary of Education is:

The accreditation of postsecondary institutions in the United States that offer degree and/or non-degree programs primarily by the distance or correspondence education method up to and including the professional doctoral degree, including those institutions that are specifically certified by the agency as accredited for Federal Student Assistance program purposes.

RECOGNITION BY THE COUNCIL FOR HIGHER EDUCATION ACCREDITATION (CHEA)

CHEA was formed in 1996 by presidents of United States colleges and universities to demonstrate higher education quality through strengthened accreditation processes. It promotes academic quality through formal recognition of higher education accrediting bodies and works to advance self-regulation in higher education through accreditation. Recognition by CHEA affirms that the standards and procedures of accrediting organizations meet the academic quality, institutional improvement, and accountability expectations CHEA has established. DEAC first received recognition by CHEA in 2001. It received its most recent grant of recognition from CHEA in 2013. DEAC's scope of recognition by CHEA is:

The accreditation of higher learning institutions in the United States and international locations that offer programs of study that are delivered primarily by distance (51 percent or more) and award credentials at the associate, baccalaureate, master's, first professional and professional doctoral degree level.



PART II: PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES

INTRODUCTION

The Distance Education Accrediting Commission (DEAC) awards accreditation to institutions that offer quality distance education programs and meet published accreditation standards. The burden of proof in demonstrating compliance with accreditation standards rests with the institution and not with DEAC. [Accreditation Handbook – Page 20]

1) ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The institution has assumes the burden of proof in showing demonstrating that its curricula are within DEAC's recognized scope of authority. DEAC reserves the right to limit its reviews to the kinds of institutions and types of programs that are within its recognized scope and decline to consider institutions and programs for accreditation that are outside DEAC's scope, competence, or where there is a lack of adequate standards to permit a meaningful evaluation.

Before DEAC officially accepts an institution's initial "Application for Accreditation," the institution demonstrates that it meets the following eligibility criteria:

- a. A distance education institution or training provider is defined by DEAC as "an educational institution or organization whose primary purpose is providing education or training which:
 - i. Formally enrolls students and maintains student records;
 - ii. Retains qualified faculty to service students;
 - Provides educationally sound and up-to-date curricula that are supported by quality instructional materials and appropriate technology;
 - iv. Provides continuous two-way communication on student work, e.g., evaluating students' examinations, projects, and/or answering queries, with timely prompt feedback given to students; and
 - v. <u>Each program offered by the institution is predominantly distance education or correspondence education (51% or more).</u> Offers courses of instruction which is studied predominantly at a distance (51% or more) from the institution

- or organization." That is, distance education should be the primary method of study for the majority of students, and distance education courses should comprise the majority of curricula offerings of the institution. [Accreditation Handbook Page 10]
- vi. Offers non-degree and/or degree programs up to the professional doctoral level pursuant to DEAC's scope of recognition.
- b. The institution is properly licensed, authorized, exempted, or approved by the <u>all</u> applicable state education institutional <u>authorities</u> (or its equivalent for non-U.S. institutions). The institution is in compliance with all applicable local, state, and federal requirements. Exemptions from state law are supported by state-issued documentation or in statutory language for that state. [Accreditation Handbook Page 11] Should an accredited institution lose its state licensure in its state of domicile required for whatever reason, DEAC accreditation terminates as of the date of the loss of state licensure, subject to DEAC's appeal procedures.
- c. At the time of the initial application, the institution has been enrolling students in the current programs for two consecutive years and under the present ownership (no new programs may be added during the two year period).
- d. The institution has clearly articulated <u>outcomes</u> for its <u>educational offerings</u> and has an ongoing outcomes assessment <u>program in place designed to measure student achievement and satisfaction.</u>
- e. The institution's permanent physical business office <u>is</u> at a fixed geographic location that is appropriately licensed or authorized as required by local and state regulatory authorities. A P.O. Box <u>is not</u> a physical business office address.
- f. The institution documents it is financially sound and can meet its financial obligations to provide instruction and service to its students through audited or reviewed comparative financial statements that cover its two most recent fiscal years. All financial statements submitted to DEAC are prepared in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
- g. The applicant <u>institution</u> shows demonstrates that <u>its</u> name being used by the institution is free from any association with any activity that could damage the standing of DEAC or of the accrediting process, such as illegal actions, unethical conduct, or abuse of consumers.
- h. The institution, the institution's owners, governing board members, officials, and administrators possess sound reputations and show a record of integrity and ethical conduct in their professional activities, business operations, and relations. The

owners, governing board members, officials, and administrators have records free from any association with any misfeasance, including, but not limited to, owning, managing or controlling any educational institutions that have entered bankruptcy or have closed with students having been disadvantaged as a result.

- i. The institution agrees that as part of the application process, its owners, officers and managers may be subject to a background check by DEAC, which may include, but not be limited to, DEAC surveys of state educational oversight agencies, federal departments and agencies, consumer protection agencies, checks on the credit history, prior bankruptcy, criminal background, debarment from federal student aid programs, the closing of educational institutions in which they were owners, managers or principals, or the loss of accreditation or state approval to operate an educational institution. The costs of such background checks will be borne by the Applicant.
- j. The institution is free from any pending or final action brought by a state agency or recognized accrediting agency to suspend, revoke, withdraw, or terminate the institution's legal authority to operate or to deny accreditation or reaccreditation.
- k. The institution's "Application for Accreditation" is complete in all respects.

2) APPLICATION, SELF-EVALUATION, AND READINESS ASSESSMENT

Accreditation is a voluntary process. Institutions desiring accredited status are expected to take the initiative to go through a series of complete the steps as outlined below. Institutions seeking accreditation or renewal of accreditation assume the burden of proof in presenting themselves as meeting all DEAC Accreditation Standards.

The steps in the DEAC accreditation process are:

a. Preparing for DEAC Accreditation Course

A key person enrolls in and successfully completes the *Preparing for DEAC Accreditation* tutorial to qualify as a Compliance Officer. The course is available on DEAC's website at www.deac.org. This tutorial is completed within one year prior to submitting the Application for Accreditation and before writing the Self-Evaluation Report (SER). A Certificate of Completion is printed after completion of the course. DEAC does not accept Applications for Accreditation without a copy of the Certificate of Completion from the key person who completed the course.

b. APPLICATION

To initiate the accreditation process, the Application for Accreditation, application fee (see Fees page), and students'

names are submitted to DEAC. Acceptance of the Application for Accreditation begins the formal process. Institutions must complete all steps in the accreditation process within 12-18 months after the Application for Accreditation is accepted.

To initiate the accreditation process, the Application for Accreditation and accreditation or renewal of accreditation fee (see Fees page), must be submitted to DEAC. Initial applicants must submit their Self-Evaluation Report (SER) no later than 60 days after submitting the Application for Accreditation. Acceptance of the Application for Accreditation begins the formal process. The steps obligated in the accreditation process must be taken within 12 months after the application is accepted.

An applicant institution may not refer to its accreditation status in any manner. In doing so, it could potentially mislead the public about the institution's affiliation with DEAC. When an institution applies for initial accreditation, it must certify on its Application for Accreditation that it "agrees to not make any promotional use of its application for accreditation status prior to receiving DEAC accreditation."

Students' Name Submission: The institution includes a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet with the names, mailing addresses, and email addresses of no more than the first 100 students consecutively enrolled within each division of the institution beginning the first day of the 18th month preceding the date of this application. Insofar as possible, the number of the students reflects the same proportion of the enrollments for each of the institution's major course/program offerings. If the institution has less than 100 students, submit the information for all students enrolled. Only institutions that are 100% correspondence may submit the names and addresses of students on self-adhesive mailing labels.

c. Self-Evaluation Report

The Compliance Officer and staff begin writing the institution's Self-Evaluation Report (SER). The SER is prepared in accordance with the provisions of the "Guide to Self-Evaluation." The SER provides data on all areas of an institution's operation, history, course offerings, student services, finances, etc. The SER includes a wide gathering and analysis of pertinent data on all aspects of the institution and its work. Institutions seeking renewal of accreditation submit their Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits to the onsite team 4–6 4 weeks prior to the scheduled onsite visit. [Accreditation Handbook – Page 13-14]

d. Readiness Assessment (Initial Applicants Only)

DEAC requires all initial applicants to undergo a Readiness Assessment conducted by an independent DEAC-appointed evaluator. The Readiness Assessment allows DEAC to ascertain if the applicant's Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits provide sufficient evidence and information for a successful onsite visit. The Readiness Assessment provides the applicant with guidance on the actions necessary for the institution to prepare for a full accreditation review. The Readiness Assessment also assures that the applicant meets a minimum level of eligibility qualification for DEAC accreditation that would justify the commitment of DEAC resources in administering a full accreditation review. Initial applicants must submit one flash drive copy of its Self-Evaluation Report (SER) and Exhibits accompanied by the Readiness Assessment fee (see Fees page) within 60 days of the date the Application for Accreditation is accepted by DEAC.

The Readiness Assessment Report is returned to the institution within 10-12 weeks. The institution is either "Deemed Ready" or "Deemed Not Ready".

- Deemed Ready: The institution receives a letter from DEAC indicating they are deemed ready to continue with the accreditation process. The institution submits Application Part One to the Manager of Institutional Development and Assessment to begin the curricula review process. The institution submits its curricula for review within 3 months after submitting Application Part One for Program, Non-Degree, or High School Program Review. The institution revises its Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits and submits it to the onsite team 4 weeks prior to the onsite visit.
- Deemed Not Ready (2nd Submission): The institution receives a letter from DEAC indicating they are not deemed ready to continue with the accreditation process. The institution has 6 months to revise its Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits incorporating the evaluator's comments and recommendations.
- Deemed Not Ready (3rd Submission): If the institution is not deemed ready after the second submission, the institution has another 6 months to revise its Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits. Upon its third submission, a Readiness Assessment onsite visit is scheduled. If deemed not ready following the onsite visit, the institution can reapply after at least one year.

3) <u>Curricula Review</u>

As a part of the accreditation process, the Commission engages subject specialists to conduct comprehensive evaluations of course/program materials. A curricula review takes up to 6 months. This includes the subject specialist search <u>and</u> the initial review by the subject specialist. Course materials submitted as part of an institution's application for accreditation are not returned to the institution. The institution is invoiced per subject specialist for each course/program review. The subject specialist is

responsible for ascertaining whether the curricula and materials offered by the distance education institution are complete, accurate, and up-to-date in relation to stated educational <u>outcomes</u>.

While only representative courses are reviewed in-depth, the scope and sequence of the entire curricula is a part of the comprehensive onsite review.

a. Curricula Review for Institutions Seeking Initial Accreditation

DEGREE PROGRAMS

i. Step One: Once the institution is deemed "ready" to move ahead in the accreditation process, it submits a <u>Degree Program Application Part 1</u> listing all degree programs offered at the institution. <u>DEAC reviews the application and selects the programs and courses required for review. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.</u>

For each degree program offered, 50% of the courses are selected for review. The representative courses are selected based on the following criteria:

- Broad and fairly representative of the curriculum for the entire degree program;
- Selected from each level (e.g., 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700) of the degree program(s); and
- Submitted using the following percentages based on degree program:
 - Associate's Degree: 25% general education and 25% core courses/electives including the capstone/final program course.
 - o **Bachelor's Degree:** 25% general education and 25% core courses/electives including the capstone/final program course or 50% of core courses if offering a degree completion program.
 - o **Master's Degree:** 25% core courses and 25% elective/concentration courses including the capstone/final program course.
 - o **Doctoral Degree:** 25% core courses and 25% research methodology courses including the capstone project/dissertation course.
- ii. Step Two: The institution submits a Degree Program Application Part II for each program including identified courses with supporting documentation for offsite subject specialist review.

NON-DEGREE PROGRAMS

iii. Step One: Once the institution is deemed "ready" to move ahead in the accreditation process, it submits a Non-

<u>Degree Program Application Part 1</u> listing all programs offered at the institution. <u>DEAC reviews the application</u> and sends the institution a letter indicating that all educational offerings are required for submission along with the fee.

iv. <u>Step Two:</u> The institution submits a Non-Degree Program Application Part II for each selected educational offering including supporting documentation for offsite subject specialist review.

HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAMS

v. **Step One:** Once a high school diploma-awarding institution is deemed "ready" to move ahead in the accreditation process, it submits a <u>High School Program Application Part 1</u> listing all high school programs offered at the institution. <u>DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.</u>

For each high school program offered, 50% of the courses are selected for review. The representative courses are selected based on the following criteria:

- Broadly and fairly representative of the following subject areas: mathematics, English, science, social studies, and electives.
- vi. Step Two: The institution submits a High School Program Application Part II for each program including identified courses with supporting documentation for offsite subject specialist review.
- b. Curricula Review for Institutions Seeking Renewal of Accreditation

DEGREE PROGRAMS

i. Step One: An institution undergoing renewal of accreditation submits a Degree Program Application Part 1 listing all degree programs offered at the institution. DEAC reviews the application and selects the programs and courses required for review. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the programs and courses required for submission along with the fee.

The representative programs and courses are selected based on the following criteria:

• 25% of all programs offered that are broadly representative; and

- For each identified program, 25% of the core courses including the capstone/final program course are selected from each level (e.g., 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700) of the degree program(s).
- ii. Step Two: The institution submits a Degree Program Application Part II for each program including identified courses with supporting documentation for offsite subject specialist review.

NON-DEGREE PROGRAMS

iii. **Step One:** An institution undergoing renewal of accreditation submits a Non-Degree Program Application Part 1 listing all programs offered at the institution. <u>DEAC reviews the application and sends the institution a letter indicating the programs required for submission along with the fee.</u>

The representative educational offerings are selected based on the following criteria:

- 25% of all educational offerings that are broadly representative.
- iv. <u>Step Two:</u> The institution submits a Non-Degree Program Application Part II for each selected educational offering including supporting documentation for offsite subject specialist review.

HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAMS

v. **Step One:** An institution undergoing renewal of accreditation submits <u>a High School Program Application Part 1</u> listing all high school programs offered at the institution. <u>DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.</u>

For each high school program offered, 25% of the courses are selected for review. The representative courses are selected based on the following criteria:

- Broadly and fairly representative of the following subject areas: mathematics, English, science, social studies, and electives.
- vi. Step Two: The institution submits a High School Program Application Part II for each program including identified courses with supporting documentation for offsite subject specialist review.

c. RESPONSE TO THE SUBJECT SPECIALIST REVIEW

The institution responds to any "Partially Meets" or "Does Not Meet" findings prior to the onsite evaluation. The response is sent to DEAC at least 2 weeks prior to the onsite evaluation. DEAC forwards the response to the onsite team.

4) CONSIDERATION OF THIRD PARTY INFORMATION

- a. DEAC publishes notice of the institutions under review for initial or renewal of accreditation on its website and encourages interested parties to submit written comments pertaining to such review. The Commission may establish procedures for providing notice of the schools to be reviewed for other reasons.
- b. Whenever information from third parties is included in the record, the institution under review will have an opportunity to respond before any accreditation decision becomes final.
- c. In considering the appropriate action, DEAC takes into account actions by other accrediting organizations that have denied accreditation or renewal of accreditation status to the institution, have placed the institution on probation, or have withdrawn/revoked the accreditation or renewal of accreditation status of the institution.
- d. If another accrediting agency places an institution on probation or withdraws/revokes the accreditation of the institution or program, DEAC will promptly review the accreditation status it has previously granted to that institution to determine whether there is cause to change that status.
- e. DEAC reviews and takes appropriate action regarding the accreditation status of any institution for which DEAC has received information from the appropriate state agency that the institution is subject to any of the following actions:
 - 1. An action by a state agency potentially leading to the suspension, withdrawal/revocation, or termination of the institution's legal authority to provide postsecondary education;
 - 2. An action by a state agency to suspend, withdraw/revoke, or terminate the institution's legal authority to provide postsecondary education subject to appeal.
- f. **Action Notwithstanding Third Party Action**: If DEAC grants initial accreditation or renewal of accreditation to an institution notwithstanding the threatened interim or final adverse actions taken against the institution by another recognized accrediting agency or state agency, DEAC will provide the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, within 30 days of its action, a thorough explanation, consistent with accreditation standards, regarding why the previous

action by the accrediting agency or state does not preclude DEAC's action.

5) Onsite Evaluation

DEAC's accreditation process is grounded on the fundamental principle of peer review that enables faculty and administrative staff from within higher education to make recommendations essential in assuring the quality of learning among institutions on behalf of all students. The process is guided by transparent standards that are established collaboratively by professional peers and member institutions.

- a. **Onsite Team Selection:** Onsite evaluations provide the onsite team with the opportunity to independently evaluate the information submitted in the institution's Self-Evaluation Report and gather additional facts for DEAC. Once the evaluators are selected, their names are submitted to the institution. The institution may object, with an adequate reason, to a specific evaluator and request that another evaluator be chosen.
- b. **Function of the Onsite Team:** The onsite evaluation provides an opportunity for evaluators to meet with key staff members, faculty/instructors, principal managers, outside accountants, governing board members, and Advisory Council members and it is vital they are present or available during the evaluation. The evaluators verify the institution is meeting its mission and can demonstrate successful student achievement. [Accreditation Handbook Page 17] [D.6. Undergoing an Onsite Visit Page 1]

The onsite evaluators' reports <u>document</u> whether the institution is meeting or exceeding all <u>DEAC</u> Accreditation Standards. The Chair's Report is provided to the institution for response and both the Chair's Report and the institution's response are <u>submitted to the Commission for review</u>. do not make recommendations to <u>DEAC</u> as to the overall approval or disapproval of the institution's application for accreditation. [Accreditation Handbook – Page 18]

- c. **Onsite Evaluators:** In selecting evaluators for onsite evaluations, the Director of Accreditation considers the nature of the institution being reviewed for compliance with DEAC Accreditation Standards, the methods of operation unique to the institution, the nature of the program(s) offered, and the expertise and past evaluation experience of the evaluator.
 - The number of onsite evaluators is determined by the size of the institution, but generally includes:
 - o a Chair;
 - o an Education Evaluator;
 - o a Business Evaluator;
 - o a Subject Specialist for each subject area;

- o a DEAC Staff Member an Observer for the Commission; and [Accreditation Handbook Page 16]
- o State or Federal Agency Observers (invited).
- Each evaluator develops a comprehensive picture of the institution's operations before the onsite evaluation by completing a thorough review of the Self-Evaluation Report, Exhibits, and answers questions on the appropriate rating form.
- The Chair of the onsite team is responsible for the completion of the onsite evaluation in accordance with the Commission's processes and procedures and assures that each evaluator completes his/her tasks during the onsite evaluation.
- A DEAC staff <u>member observer will accompany accompanies</u> the onsite team throughout the onsite evaluation to assure objectivity, impartiality, uniformity, <u>interpretation of standards</u>, adherence to established procedures, and serve as a liaison between the onsite team and the Commission.

6) THE CHAIR'S REPORT, RESPONSE, AND THE COMMISSION'S DECISION

Following the onsite evaluation, the Chair prepares a Chair's Report and submits it to the Director of Accreditation. The Director of Accreditation sends the Chair's Report to the institution prior to submitting it to the Commission. The Chair's Report describes the findings of the onsite team and provides comments on the institution's demonstrated compliance with, or failure to demonstrate compliance with, the DEAC accreditation standards.

- a. The institution has 30 days from the receipt of the Chair's Report to respond. In its response, the institution may add new or supporting information or correct any incorrect statements made in the Chair's Report. Regardless of its accredited status, all applicant institutions are obligated to keep the Commission informed of any changes in management, enrollments, etc., which occur subsequent to the date of the onsite evaluation.
 - The Commission considers the Application for Accreditation (initial or renewal), Self-Evaluation Report, student surveys, any comments from third parties or outside agencies, the Chair's Report, and the institution's response to the Chair's Report to make its decision.
- b. The Executive Director notifies the President/CEO of the institution within 30 days through an Action Letter of the Commission's decision. The Action Letter includes a detailed written statement that identifies any deficiencies in the institution's compliance with DEAC's standards or conditions for initial or renewal of accreditation. The notification also

advises the institution of its right to appeal an adverse decision of the Commission.

c. When the Commission withdraws the accreditation of an institution, the action is not made public by the Commission until the period for requesting an appeal has expired or the appeal itself is denied.

7) COMMISSION ACTIONS ON INITIAL AND RENEWAL OF ACCREDITATION

The DEAC usually meets twice a year, in January and June. At its meetings, the DEAC reviews information and documentation on the various applications for initial accreditation or renewal of accreditation. The Commission reviews the Self-Evaluation Report; the Chair's Report; the institution's response to the Chair's Report; Subject Specialists' Reports; student surveys; any complaints from the public; information gathered from other interested parties; any responses to public notices; institution's advertisements and catalog; any communications between the institution and the Commission; and other relevant documentation.

The Commission takes one of four courses of action:

- a. **Accredit** a new applicant institution for up to 3 years, or continue an institution's accredited status for up to 5 years. Reports of institutional enhancements of programs and services may be required.
- b. **Defer** a decision pending receipt of a Progress Report, submission of additional information and/or the results of a follow-up onsite evaluation. The maximum deferral period is 12 months (unless the Commission extends the period for "good cause" as defined below.
 - i. Good Cause: The maximum time period for achieving compliance with DEAC accreditation standards is 12 months. The Commission may extend this 12 month period for good cause shown. "Good cause" in this context is defined as a sufficient reason for the Commission to allow additional time for the institution to show that it has made substantial progress, but additional time is needed to more fully document experience in attaining full compliance, additional resources are shortly to become available, or there are exigent circumstances, such as illness or accident, that justify an extension of time. When a "good cause" extension is granted by the Commission, the time allowed for institutional compliance may exceed the permissible compliance times published in Federal Regulations. The Commission notifies the U.S. Secretary of Education if an extension is granted for "good cause."
 - 1. The Commission considers the following criteria when granting an extension for a good cause:
 - The length of time requested for the extension;

- Rationale for granting or denying the extension;
- Common sense matters such as near-term future availability of reports or data;
- Anticipated impact of an extension on students enrolled with the institution; and
- Limitations on a further extension to an existing extension, limits on the frequency and use of "good cause."
- 2. The Commission may also elect to monitor the progress of an institution that has received an extension for a good cause by requesting documentation on a periodic basis as to the institution's progress toward compliance with the Commission's standards or procedures.
- 3. After reviewing the above considerations, the Commission will decide to grant or deny an institution's request for an extension for good cause. This Commission decision is not appealable.
- ii. At its discretion, the Commission may restrict substantive changes in conjunction with deferring action on an application for renewal of accreditation.
- c. Direct the institution to **Show Cause** as to why its accreditation should not be withdrawn; or
 - i. **Show Cause Directive:** In cases where the Commission has reason to believe that an institution is not in compliance with accreditation standards and other requirements, the Commission may direct the institution to Show Cause as to why its accreditation should not be withdrawn. An institution that receives a Show Cause Directive will be required to demonstrate corrective action and compliance with accrediting standards or procedures. Because the issuance of a show cause directive is not an adverse action, this is not an appealable decision. However, the burden of proof rests with the institution to demonstrate that it is meeting DEAC's accreditation standards.
 - ii. **Notices:** When a Show Cause Directive is issued, a written notice will be sent to the institution within 30 days of the Commission's decision which:
 - States the reasons why the Show Cause Directive was issued;
 - Identifies the standard and other accreditation requirements that the institution is believed not to be in compliance;
 - Explains the reasons and recites the evidence indicating that the institution may not be in compliance with accreditation requirements; and

- Advises the institution of its obligations under the Show Cause Directive and the deadline for its response.
- iii. Notice of the Show Cause Directive is provided to the U.S. Secretary of Education, the appropriate state agencies or authorizing agency, and the appropriate accrediting organizations at the same time it notifies the institution of the Show Cause Directive. The Commission posts a notice on its website within 24 hours of notifying the institution.
- iv. **Decision on Show Cause Directive:** Upon expiration of the time limits of submission of the Response to the Show Cause Directive or any progress report or additional requirements placed on the institution in relation to the Show Cause Directive, a decision is made on the institution's compliance with the accreditation standards or requirements noted in the directive. The Commission may:
 - Vacate the Show Cause Directive, if it is determined that the response gives evidence that such removal is warranted or if the response shows compliance with the cited accreditation standards and requirements;
 - Continue the Show Cause Directive, pending the receipt of additional information or further reports from the institution;
 - Order a special visit in accordance with 7(c)(v) below; or
 - Withdraw accreditation, which would be subject to an appeal by the institution.
- v. A special visit is ordered by the Commission because of unusual circumstances or failure by the institution to meet its obligations to the Commission. The Commission's requirement for a special visit may be precipitated because:
 - a serious or an unusually large number of student or other complaints e.g. "whistle-blower" complaints;
 - state or Federal investigations or legal action taken against an institution;
 - an institution's failure to comply with a condition of accreditation;
 - reported conditions on negative financial events;
 - a show cause order issued by the Commission;
 - governmental complaints against the institution; or
 - similar serious concerns.

If an institution refuses to agree to undergo a special visit, pay the fees for the visit in a timely manner, or observe the timelines specified by the Commission for executing the special visit as directed, it will be reported to the Commission for action, including withdrawing accreditation.

Commission ordered special visits are conducted in a timely fashion. In no case will the time frame for reporting and conducting the onsite evaluation extend beyond 12 months from the date the Commission is first made aware of any condition requiring a special visit.

- vi. The Commission will notify the institution of its decision concerning its Response to the Show Cause Directive within 30 days. In all cases, the Commission will allow the institution sufficient time to respond to any findings before any final decision regarding the institution's accredited status is made.
- vii. The Commission will not consider substantive changes or approve any new courses or programs when an institution is under a Show Cause Directive.
- d. **Deny** accreditation to an applicant, or withdraw accreditation from an accredited institution (these actions are appealable see Appealing the Commission's Decision).
 - i. Prior to any final adverse action by the Commission that is based solely upon a failure to meet DEAC Standard X: Financial Responsibility, the institution has the right, for a single occasion, to provide the Commission significant financial information that was not available to the institution prior to the determination of the adverse action, so long as the information bears materially on any financial deficiencies cited by the Commission. The Commission shall determine if the financial information submitted by the institution is significant and material, and if it is found to be so, it will consider the new information prior to taking any final action.
 - ii. Any determination made with respect to the significance or materiality of the new financial information submitted as set forth above, will not be subject to a separate appeal by the institution.

8) APPEALING COMMISSION'S ADVERSE DECISION

a. Request for Appeal

i. The institution may appeal a decision by the Commission to deny or withdraw accreditation. The request for appeal must be made using the Application for Appeal. The application must be sent with the required fees (see Fees page) to the Executive Director of the Commission within 10 days of the receipt of the Commission's letter advising the institution of the decision to deny or withdraw accreditation. The institution's failure to submit the application and fees within 10 days will be deemed a waiver of its right to appeal and cause the Commission's action to become final.

- ii. The institution shall file a written statement of the grounds for its request for appeal within thirty days of the receipt of the notification of the Commission's action. The institution's decision to appeal is limited to appealing the factual record that was before the Commission and to the decision which the Commission made in executing its standards and procedures
- iii. If the institution's appeal request is not successful, where the decision to deny or withdraw accreditation is upheld and becomes final, the institution is not eligible to re-apply for accreditation for a period of one year from the date of the final action.

b. Appeals Panel

- i. In the appeals process, the institution's appeal is heard by an independent appeals panel that is separate from the Commission and serves as an additional level of due process for the institution. The Appeals Panel does not have authority concerning the reasonableness of eligibility criteria, procedures, or accreditation standards. It can affirm, amend, remand, or reverse the prior decision of the Commission as set forth below. Its role is to determine whether the Commission's action was not supported by the record or was clearly erroneous. The institution has the burden of proof in demonstrating that the action of the Commission was not supported by the record or was otherwise erroneous.
- ii. The Appeals Panel consists of three people appointed by the Commission: a public member, an academic, and an administrator. Potential members of an Appeals Panel will be selected from the ranks of former members of the Commission, the corps of Commission evaluators, and active staff of DEAC accredited institutions who have completed DEAC's evaluator training program. All Panelists will be given a training session on appeals procedures and will be subject to the provisions in the DEAC Conflict of Interest Policy.
- iii. The Appeals Panel members possess knowledge of accreditation purposes, standards, and procedures and will be constituted to meet the panel composition requirements set forth above. The candidates cannot include any current member of the Commission and cannot have a conflict of interest. The Executive Director submits a list of proposed Appeal Panel members to the institution in advance. An institution may ask in writing within 10 calendar days of receipt of the proposed panel that any person or persons be removed from the list on the basis of potential conflict of interests as defined in DEAC's Conflict of Interest Policy. If the Commission determines that a conflict exists, the panelist will be replaced. No panel member may serve if he/she participated, in any respect, in the underlying decision by the Commission to deny or withdraw accreditation.

c. Consideration and Decision of the Appeal

- i. The consideration of the appeal is based upon the Commission's written findings and reasons related to the action, the institution's written response detailing grounds for appeal, and relevant supportive documents. The Appeals Panel does not have authority regarding the reasonableness of the accreditation standards and procedures. Its role is to determine whether the Commission's action was not supported by the record or was clearly erroneous.
- ii. The institution sets the specific grounds for its appeal in writing within the time specified above and state the reasons the institution believes the adverse decision should be set aside or revised. In making its appeal, the institution has the burden to show that the Commission's decision resulted from errors or omissions in the execution of Commission standards and procedures, or that the decision was arbitrary or capricious and was not based on substantial evidence on the record. No new materials may be presented for the Appeals Panel's consideration on appeal.
- iii. The Appeals Panel considers the grounds for the appeal, the institution's oral presentation, and the record that was before the Commission when it made the decision to deny accreditation or withdraw accreditation.

d. Decisions Available to the Appeals Panel

- i. **Affirm:** If the Appeals Panel determines the institution has failed to meet its burden of proof in showing that the Commission's action was not supported by the record or was clearly erroneous, it must affirm the decision of the Commission. In certain instances, the Commission's decision may be based on multiple violations of DEAC standards or procedures. A showing by the institution that there is no support in the record only as to some of the violations is not by itself sufficient to meet the institution's burden of proof. The institution must show that, in light of the entire record, the decision is not supported by the record or is clearly erroneous.
- ii. **Remand:** The Appeals Panel may remand a decision to the Commission when it finds that the Commission failed to consider a material fact before it in reaching its decision. A remand is a directive to the Commission that it must reconsider its action in light of all relevant facts that were before the Commission at the time of its decision, including the specific material fact or facts that are the basis for the remand. The Appeals Panel must identify those material facts that it finds the Commission failed to consider.
- iii. **Amend:** If the Appeals Panel determines that although there is evidence to support it, the Commission's decision is nevertheless clearly in error, the Appeals Panel may amend the decision. A decision to amend an adverse action sets forth the specific grounds for the decision and directs the Commission to modify its decision in accordance with the

specific direction of the Appeals Panel. The Appeals Panel may in its discretion amend a decision to deny accreditation by directing the Commission to grant accreditation and direct the Commission to consider the proper length of the grant consistent with the direction of the panel, the practices of the Commission, or in accordance with other guidance from the Appeals Panel.

iv. **Reverse:** The Appeals Panel may reverse a decision of the Commission if it finds that the Commission's decision, in light of the entire record, was not supported by the record or was clearly erroneous. A decision to reverse an action of the Commission will state the specific bases for the decision to reverse. A decision to reverse a withdrawal of accreditation will direct the Commission to set aside its decision to withdraw and to reinstate the accreditation of the institution as it was before the withdrawal decision. A decision to reverse an action to deny accreditation directs the Commission to award a specific grant of accreditation for a term determined by the Appeals Panel.

e. Hearing Procedure

- i. The Commission shall have at least one representative present at the hearing. The Commission representative and representatives of the institution will have the opportunity to make opening and closing statements to the Appeals Panel. Such oral statement may not exceed 20 minutes in length. The institution must provide information relevant to the specific grounds for the appeal. If the institution intends to make an oral presentation, the President/CEO of the institution should make the request in writing to the Executive Director not less than 30 days prior to the date of the hearing. The names and affiliations of those appearing to make the oral presentation must be included with the request. The institution is entitled to be represented by counsel during the appeal hearing. The DEAC does not consider the Appeal hearing to be adversarial in nature. Accordingly, the institution will not have the right to examine the Commission representative.
- ii. The appeal hearing may be recorded by stenographic or electronic means if requested by the institution. Recording and transcripts thereof shall be at the institution's expense, and a copy will be timely provided to the institution following the appeal hearing.

f. Commission Receipt and Implementation of Appeals Panel Decisions

i. The written decision of the Appeals Panel is provided to the Commission within 30 days. The Commission implements the decision of the Appeals Panel to affirm, amend, or reverse the prior Commission decision within 30 days of receipt of the written decision by the Appeals Panel. The Commission notifies the institution of the decision within 30 days of implementation.

g. Notification

i. The Commission notifies federal, state, accrediting organizations, and the public of its decision according to Notifying Agencies and the Public of Commission's Decisions.

9) BINDING ARBITRATION

- a. Upon being notified that its appeal did not change an adverse Commission decision, an institution has 5 business days to request arbitration, during which no public notification of the Commission action will be made, and no new students may be enrolled. When the institution remits an arbitration fee (see Fees page) established by the Commission, an arbitrator will be selected by the Commission from candidates recommended by the American Arbitration Association. Early resolution of such disputes being in the public good, the parties shall make every effort to expedite the arbitration.
- b. The analytic framework used for the arbitration is developed by the federal courts, particularly the circuit courts, and selected excerpts are cited in an appendix to this procedure. Courts have described their role as not one of making a de novo review, but of determining whether the Commission's decision was arbitrary or capricious. In like manner, the arbitration should make this determination, assessing whether the association confined its action to the contours of due process and fundamental principles of fairness, while recognizing the special nature of accreditation and according deference to the rules and processes of accrediting associations.
- c. The arbitrator is provided with all of the information available to the Commission when it made the adverse decision, and the procedures used to reach the decision. Along with the presentation by the parties, this will allow for a thorough consideration of whether the Commission's decision was arbitrary or capricious, or reached in an unfair manner. Additional discovery activity and witnesses should not be required. In an exceptional circumstance, where the arbitrator finds that additional information is essential to reaching a fair decision, limited discovery may be authorized.
- d. Both parties may appear before the arbitrator with legal counsel to present their position, and each may file a written brief, subject to the 15-page limit used by the U.S. Department of Education's appeals division, and up to 5 exhibits.
- e. The arbitrator's decision will be admissible in any subsequent proceeding where it is relevant.

10) NOTIFICATION AND INFORMATION SHARING

The DEAC notifies federal, state, accrediting organizations, and the public of its decision. Pursuant to federal regulations regarding the recognition of other accrediting organizations, the Commission will observe this policy in keeping interested and appropriate groups informed of the accrediting actions taken by the Commission. Unless otherwise specified, the effective date of

the Commission's decision is the date on the letter notifying the institution of the Commission's decision.

- a. **Initial and Renewal of Accreditation:** The DEAC provides written notice to the U.S. Secretary of Education, the appropriate state licensing or authorizing agencies, and the appropriate accrediting organizations, at the same time it notifies the institution of the decision, but no later than 30 days after the Commission makes its decision to accredit or reaccredit an institution.
- b. **Deny or Withdraw Accreditation:** The Commission provides written notice to the U.S. Secretary of Education, the appropriate state licensing or authorizing agencies, and the appropriate accrediting organizations, at the same time it notifies the institution of the decision, but no later than 30 days after the Commission makes a final decision to deny or withdraw accreditation. A final decision to deny or withdraw accreditation is one reached after an institution has exhausted the appeals process provided when appealing the Commission's adverse decision.
- c. **Show Cause Directive:** The Commission provides written notice to the U.S. Secretary of Education, the appropriate state licensing or authorizing agencies, and the appropriate accrediting organizations, at the same time it notifies the institution of the decision, but no later than 30 days after the Commission makes a decision to place an institution on Show Cause.
- d. The Commission provides written notice to the public of any of the decisions listed above within 24 hours of its notice to the institution.
- e. For any decisions to deny or withdraw accreditation, the Commission makes available to the U.S. Secretary of Education, the appropriate state licensing agencies, the appropriate accrediting organizations, and the public, no later than 60 days after the final decision, a brief statement summarizing the reasons for the Commission's decision and the official comments, if any, that the affected institution makes regarding the Commission's decision. If no official comments by the institution are provided within 14 days of notification, the Commission will document that the affected institution was offered the opportunity to provide an official comment.
- f. **Resigning or Voluntarily Withdrawing Accreditation:** Within 30 days of receiving notification from an institution of its decision to resign or voluntarily withdraw from accreditation, the Commission posts a notice of the institution's resignation or voluntary withdraw of accreditation on its website and provides written notice to the U.S. Secretary of Education, appropriate state licensing agencies or authorizing agency, and the appropriate accrediting organizations and, upon request, the public.

- g. **Accreditation Lapses:** If an institution elects not to renew its accreditation, the Commission posts notice within 30 days of the date upon which the institution's accreditation lapses and provides written notice to the U.S. Secretary of Education, appropriate state licensing agencies or authorizing agency, and the appropriate accrediting organizations and, upon request, the public.
- h. The Commission submits to the U.S. Secretary of Education the name of any institution it accredits which the Commission has reason to believe is engaging in fraud and abuse, along with the Commission's reasons for concern about the institution's activities. The Commission informs the U.S. Secretary of Education whenever it has found significant or systemic deficiencies in the assignment of credit hours by an institution.
- i. **Scope of Public Information:** The Commission will make available to the public and may publish in official DEAC publications, including its website and/or *DEAC Directory of Accredited Institutions*, the following information:
 - The name, address, telephone number, and website address of an accredited institution;
 - The month and year accredited and month and year accreditation expires;
 - A summary list of programs offered by the institution;
 - A summary of information pertaining to an adverse action;
 - A summary of information pertaining to an action subject to appeal; and
 - The date of an institution's voluntary withdrawal of accreditation.
- j. **Confidentiality of Records:** Information pertaining to the Commission's actions is confidential and is not shared with third parties, other DEAC institutions, the media, or the public, except as authorized by an institution or as required by government regulation, judicial or administrative process, and other legal requirements.
- k. Sharing Information with Government Entities and Other Accrediting Organizations: DEAC grants all reasonable special requests for accreditation information made by other accrediting organizations and government entities. Requests for information from such entities must be in writing, submitted to the Executive Director, and state the name and address of the institution for which the information is sought, the nature of the information requested, and the purposes for which the information is to be used. A decision to deny such a request is not subject to appeal.
- 1. Institutions accredited by or seeking accreditation from DEAC provide a release as part of its Application for Accreditation for purposes of eliciting information from state licensing agencies and government entities, as well as an acknowledgement of the fact that accreditation information may, at the discretion of the Commission, be shared with other accrediting

organizations and government entities.

- m. **Authorized Disclosure of Information:** When an institution requests specific confidential accreditation information to be released to third parties, the President/CEO of the institution or an institution-designated official must provide a written release on official letterhead to the Executive Director stating the precise information to be released and the party or parties to whom the information is to be provided.
- n. DEAC provides the following information to the U.S. Department of Education:
 - A copy of any annual report it prepares;
 - A copy of the DEAC Directory of Accredited Institutions (updated annually);
 - A summary of DEAC's major accrediting activities during the previous year (an annual data summary), if requested by the U.S. Secretary;
 - Any proposed change in DEAC's procedures or accreditation standards that might alter its
 - o Scope of recognition; or
 - o Compliance with the federal criteria for recognition.
 - Any actions available to the Accrediting Commission;
 - The name of any institution which DEAC accredits that has been "certified" by DEAC as being "Federal Student Assistance Program Eligible" under DEAC's Engaging in Federal Student Assistance Programs substantive change;
 - The name of any institution which DEAC accredits that DEAC has reasons to believe is failing to meets its <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> program responsibilities or is engaged in fraud or abuse, along with DEAC's reasons for concern about the institution; and
 - If the U.S. Secretary of Education requests, information that may bear upon an accredited institution's compliance with its <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> program responsibilities, including the eligibility of the institution to participate in <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs or a significant or systematic non-compliance in the assignment of credit hours. The U.S. Secretary of Education may ask for this information to assist the Department in resolving problems with the institution's participation in the <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs.

DEAC reviews on a case-by-case basis its contact with or information or materials provided to the U.S. Department of Education and the circumstances surrounding them and will determine whether they should be considered confidential. DEAC treats a contact or request from the U.S. Department of Education for information concerning an institution as being confidential, upon the specific request of the Department.

o. Public Disclosure of Accreditation Status

- i. DEAC specifies how an accredited institution may refer to its accreditation status. An institution may refer to its accredited status as, "Accredited by the Distance Education Accrediting Commission," "Accredited by the DEAC," "accredited member of DEAC," or "DEAC Accredited." An institution may use the term "accredited programs," "accredited courses," and/or "nationally accredited" when referring to its individual programs, courses, and/or institution.
- ii. DEAC does not have a pre-accreditation or candidacy status. An applicant institution may not refer to its accreditation status in any manner. In doing so, it could potentially mislead the public about the institution's affiliation with DEAC. When an institution applies for initial accreditation, it must certify on its Application for Accreditation that it "agrees to not make any promotional use of its application for accreditation status prior to receiving DEAC accreditation."
- iii. If DEAC is informed that an applicant institution is telling the public it is "pre-accredited" or "will be accredited," the Executive Director will notify the institution immediately and tell them to cease and desist. If the institution continues, it is counseled that it may not proceed with the accreditation process.
- p. Correction of Misleading or Inaccurate Information: DEAC requires that an accredited institution must correct any misleading or inaccurate information it releases. DEAC will notify the institution of the misleading or inaccurate information, and request that the institution immediately make the correction, post a notice of the correction, and document to DEAC that the correction has been made. Failure to do so within 10 days may result in an order of a Special Visit, see Policy on Special Visits.

11) NOTIFICATIONS

a. **Notification Reports:** The institution informs the Commission immediately of any actions it plans to take itself—or actions taken against it by other agencies—if those actions have the capacity to affect the reputation of the Commission, the institution's good standing with the Commission and/or its acceptance by the public. This includes the institution's resolution of any complaints in a forthright, prompt, amicable, and equitable manner to the Commission's satisfaction. An effective date is indicated for instances where prior approval of a substantive change is granted. The effective date is not retroactive and is within 30 days of the Commission's final decision of the requested substantive change (except for changes in ownership).

- b. DEAC accredited institutions contact DEAC staff to apprise them of governmental and media actions that may affect their institution or the Commission.
- c. **Review of Notification Reports:** The Commission reserves the right to order a comprehensive review of an institution at any time it has concerns that the institution is not in compliance with DEAC Accreditation Standards and/or procedures. In all cases, DEAC allows the institution sufficient time to respond to any findings before a final decision regarding the institution's accredited status is made.

12) PETITIONS AND WAIVERS

Any institution seeking application, applicants seeking accreditation, or accredited institution submits a petition to the Commission when requesting a waiver of any DEAC Accreditation Standard or procedure and documents the rationale for the request. An institutions may submit a petition for an alternative interpretation of a DEAC Accreditation Standard to address the institution's unique mission. Petitions are not requested simply because an institution does not like a standard or does not care to be subject to it. Petitions are only submitted for a significant reason as it applies to the institution's mission.

- i. The Commission may choose to grant a waiver of its standards or procedures where an institution is able to demonstrate through a well-documented petition that:
 - Extenuating circumstances are present that indicates the normal application of the standard or procedure will create an undue hardship on the institution or its students, or
 - The waiver meets the underlying purpose and intent of the standard or procedure.
- ii. The institution submits the Petition Request Form and provides supporting documentation. An institution seeking application or an applicant seeking accreditation submits a fee (see Fees page) along with the Petition Request Form and supporting documentation.
- iii. The institution submits the Petition Request Form and supporting documentation at least 60 45 days prior to the next Commission meeting (check with staff on the exact dates).
- iv. The Commission reviews the institution's <u>Petition Request Form</u> and all documentation, and votes to either approve or deny the petition. If a petition is denied, the institution may not resubmit a petition for the same request. Petitions are granted for a period of one year for initial applicants and one accreditation cycle for accredited institutions. The

Commission notifies the institution of its decision within 30 days.

13) Substantive Changes

A substantive change is one that may significantly affect an institution's quality, mission, scope, or control. Substantive changes are reviewed to assure that changes in educational offerings, teaching modalities, locations, scope of offerings, and control of the institution are made in accordance with DEAC accreditation standards. The Commission's review of the application seeks to determine whether the substantive change adversely affects the capacity of the institution to continue to meet DEAC accreditation standards. Commission approval is required before a change in the institution's scope of accreditation is granted. The institution seeking a substantive change follows DEAC's process for approval.

The following are substantive changes.

- Any significant change in the institution's core mission;
- Any change in the institution's name;
- Any change in the institution's legal status, form of control, or ownership;
- Any change in the institution's location of the main facility or administrative site or any addition of a facility geographically apart from the main facility;
- Any addition of a new program in a related field of study consistent with the educational offerings reviewed when the institution was last evaluated;
- A change in method of delivery from when the institution was last evaluated;
- A contract for educational delivery up to 50% with unaccredited organizations;
- Any addition of a new program in an unrelated field of study not offered when the institution was last evaluated;
- Any addition of a program at a degree or credential level different from the educational offerings currently included in the institution's scope of accreditation;
- A substantial increase or decrease in the number of clock or credit hours awarded for successful completion of a program, including changing from clock hours to credit hours;
- Any addition of an in-residence program component;
- An institution seeking certification to participate in Federal Student Assistance Programs; and
- Engaging in international activities.

The Commission monitors changes that are proposed by institutions on a continuous basis. When the Commission has ascertained that proposed changes, or an accumulation of changes that singly or in combination are seen to be so significant it results in transforming the institution, the Commission requires a comprehensive re-evaluation of the institution.

Proposed changes may be so substantial that the Commission considers the institution it granted accreditation to have effectively closed and a new institution is proposed to open. After affording the institution the opportunity to provide information about the changes and whether sufficient continuity of the accredited institution is maintained, the Commission may act to require a total reevaluation of the institution or to withdraw the accreditation and require the institution to re-apply for accreditation. The Commission allows for due process by providing reasonable time for an institution to comply with its request for information and documentation. In all cases, the Commission will allow the institution sufficient time to respond to any findings before any final decision regarding the institution's accredited status is made.

a. Change of Core Mission

- i. An institution seeking to substantively depart from its core mission requires prior approval because the institution's accreditation is predicated on its core mission.
- ii. **Core Mission Definition:** A significant alteration in the institution's core mission signals a change throughout the institution

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for this substantive change:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a Change of Core Mission Application Part 1 including required documentation 30 days prior to implementation. The completed application and documentation is presented to the Commission for initial approval.
- 2. **Step Two:** Once the change of core mission is fully implemented, the institution submits a Change of Core Mission Application Part 2 including required documentation.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months after implementation of the revised core mission is complete. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available

on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

b. Change of Name

i. An institution seeking to change its name is required to obtain approval from the Commission before implementing the new name. The Commission determines whether the proposed new name will have an adverse effect on public perception of the institution or the institution's capacity to meet DEAC accreditation standards. Institutions seeking a change of name to include "university" or "college" must have DEAC approval as a degree-granting institution.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for this substantive change:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a Change of Name Application Part I including required documentation 30 days prior to implementation. The completed application and documentation is presented to the Commission for initial approval.
- 2. **Step Two:** Once the change of name is implemented, the institution submits a Change of Name Application Part 2 including required documentation.
- 3. **Step Three:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

c. Change in Legal Status, Form of Control, or Ownership of Institution

- i. **Change in Legal Status Definition:** A "**change in legal status**" is defined as a change in the legal definition of the company or corporation, which is typically defined by the state or United States government, such as changing from a for-profit to a non-profit, or from an S Corporation to an LLC.
- ii. **Control Definition: "Control"** is the ability to direct or cause the direction of the actions of an institution. Examples of change of "form of control" are: the sale of all or majority interest of the institution's assets; sale or assignment of the controlling interest of the voting stock of a corporation that owns the institution or that controls the institution through one or more subsidiaries; merger or consolidation of the institution with other institutions; or

an independent corporation owning an institution that becomes a subsidiary of another corporation with a different ownership. When an institution changes its form of control as defined as the ability to direct or cause the direction of the actions of an institution, it is essentially changing ownership.

- iii. **Change of Ownership Definition:** A "**change of ownership**" is any transaction or combination of transactions that would result in a change in the control of an accredited institution.
- iv. Accreditation does not automatically transfer to an institution when all or a majority share of its interests are sold, or when an institution is sold or changes its legal status. If the new ownership desires to continue the institution's accreditation, it must notify the Commission before the change is made. Failure to obtain approval results in withdrawal of institutional accreditation as of the date the change of legal status, control, or ownership occurs.
- v. The institution's proposed new owners, governing board members, and administrators possess sound reputations and show a record of integrity and ethical conduct in their professional activities, business operations, and relations. The proposed new owners, board members, officials, and executive staff are free from any association with misfeasance including owning, managing, or controlling any educational institutions that entered into bankruptcy or closed resulting in students being disadvantaged.
- vi. A proposed transfer of ownership is approved based on the new owners, governing board members, and administrators possessing the capacity to own and operate a DEAC accredited institution. The new ownership's financial condition includes sufficient resources to continue sound institutional operations in fulfillment of all commitments to enrolled students. The financial stability allows the institution to remain in compliance with DEAC accreditation standards.
- vii. An institution authorized and participating in Federal Student Assistance programs, assumes the responsibility of assuring timely notification and submission of reports to DEAC to facilitate a seamless transfer of ownership and continuation of institutional eligibility. The Change of Legal Status, Control, or Ownership Application Part 2 requires copies of filings and submissions to the U.S. Department of Education be included along with any correspondence received from the Department. The U.S. Department of Education has time-sensitive regulations regarding change of legal status, control, or ownership for institutions participating in federal student aid programs.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for this substantive change:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a Change of Legal Status, Control, or Ownership Application Part 1 including required documentation 30 days prior to the proposed change. The completed application and documentation is presented to the Commission for initial approval.
- 2. **Step Two:** Once the change of legal status, control, or ownership is implemented, the institution submits a Change of Legal Status, Control, or Ownership Application Part 2 including required documentation. For change in ownership, the institution provides DEAC notification and additional documentation within 10 days after closing.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months after the change of legal status, control, or ownership is complete. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

d. CHANGE IN LOCATION

- i. An institution seeking a change in location (however close to the original site) is required to obtain prior approval from the Commission.
- ii. **Location Definition:** A "**location**" is a geographic location that houses the headquarters of an institution. The institution provides evidence it is approved in the state for the activity that it conducts at the new location.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for this substantive change:

1. **Step One:** Submit a Change in Location Application Part 1 including required documentation 30 days prior to the change.

- 2. **Step Two:** Once the change in location is complete, the institution submits a Change in Location Application Part 2 including required documentation.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months after the change in location is complete. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

e. NEW ADMINISTRATIVE SITE

i. Administrative Site Definition: An "administrative site" is a separate office located geographically apart from the main headquarters' location, which typically provide an offsite workplace for the convenience of institution officials who do not live near the headquarters. Neither educational programs nor instructional services to students are offered from an administrative site. For DEAC purposes, administrative sites are not listed in DEAC's Directory of Accredited Institutions. The institution provides evidence that it is approved in the state for the activity that it conducts at the administrative site.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for this substantive change:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a New Administrative Site Application Part 1 including required documentation 30 days prior to the change.
- 2. **Step Two:** Once the new administrative site is implemented, the institution submits a New Administrative Site Application Part 2 including required documentation.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months after the new administrative site is implemented. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional

information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.

4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

f. Change in Educational Offerings

The following are considered substantive changes to educational offerings. If an in-residence component is included in the instructional design of a new program, please follow the Addition of an In-Residence Training Component substantive change.

i. Addition of a New Related Field Degree Program: any addition of a new degree program in a related field of study consistent with the educational offerings reviewed when the institution was last evaluated.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for the addition of a new degree program in a related field of study:

- 1. Step One: Submit a New Related Field Degree Program Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review based on the selection criteria under II.3.b.i. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.
- 2. **Step Two:** Submit a New Related Field Degree Program Application Part 2 including the identified courses for offsite subject specialist review. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards.
- 3. **Step Three:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.
- ii. Addition of a New Related Field Non-Degree Program or Vocational Course: any addition of a new non-degree

program or vocational course in a related field of study consistent with the educational offerings reviewed when the institution was last evaluated.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for the addition of a new non-degree program or vocational course:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a New Related Field Non-Degree Program Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review based on the selection criteria under II.3.b.iii. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.
- 2. Step Two: Submit a New Related Field Non-Degree Program Application Part 2 including the identified courses for offsite subject specialist review. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards.
- 3. **Step Three:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.
- iii. Change in Method of Delivery: any change in method of delivery from when the institution was last evaluated.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for a change in method of delivery:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a Change in Method of Delivery Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application. DEAC sends the institution a letter requesting access to one completed program along with the fee.
- Step Two: Submit a Change in Method of Delivery Application Part 2 providing access to one completed
 program for offsite subject specialist review. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and
 has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards.
- 3. Step Three: The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

- iv. Contracting for Educational Delivery: an institution that contracts for the delivery of up to 50% of its curriculum must obtain approval from the Commission prior to implementing the contract, as follows:
 - An institution seeking to contract 26% to 50% of its curriculum for educational delivery with an accredited organization, or institution, or
 - An institution seeking to contract up to 50% of its curriculum for educational delivery with an education provider (unaccredited) or other organization.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for contracting for educational delivery:

- 1. Step One: Submit a Contracting for Educational Delivery Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission based on the selection criteria under II.3.b.i or II.3.b.iii. along with the fee.
- 2. **Step Two:** Submit a Contracting for Educational Delivery Application Part 2 including identified courses for offsite subject specialist review. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards.
- 3. **Step Three:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.
- v. <u>Contracting for Educational Delivery with an Approved AQC Provider: Upon Commission approval, an institution seeking to improve or expand its educational offerings to students can enter into an agreement to incorporate or contract for educational delivery up to 50% of its curriculum with an approved AQC provider.</u>
 - An institution seeking to contract 26% to 50% of its curriculum for educational delivery with an approved AQC provider, follows the steps below.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for contracting for educational delivery:

1. **Step One:** Submit a Contracting for Educational Delivery Application Part 1 indicating the contracted courses selected, additional supporting documentation, and fee.

- 2. **Step Two:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.
- vi. Addition of a New Unrelated Field Degree Program: any addition of a new degree program in an unrelated field of study not currently approved within the institution's scope of accreditation.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for the addition of a new degree program in an unrelated field of study:

- 1. Step One: Submit a New Unrelated Field Degree Program Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review based on the selection criteria under II.3.a.i. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.
- 2. Step Two: Submit a New Unrelated Field Degree Program Application Part 2 including the identified courses for offsite subject specialist review. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards. The Commission reviews the report and, upon approval, provides notification to the institution within 30 days permitting enrollment into the degree program.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months to 1 year after implementing the new degree program and enrolling students. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. <u>Step Four: The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.</u>
- vii. Addition of a New Unrelated Field Non-Degree Program or Vocational Course: any addition of a new non-degree program or vocational course in an unrelated field of study not currently approved within the institution's scope of accreditation.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for the addition of a new non-degree program or vocational course in an unrelated field of study:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a New Unrelated Field Non-Degree Program Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review based on the selection criteria under II.3.a.iii. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.
- 2. Step Two: Submit a New Unrelated Field Non-Degree Program Application Part 2 including the identified courses for offsite subject specialist review. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards. The Commission reviews the report and, upon approval, provides notification to the institution within 30 days permitting enrollment into the non-degree program or vocational course.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months to 1 year after implementing the new non-degree program or vocational course and enrolling students. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.
- viii. Addition of a Program at a Degree or Credential Level Different: any addition of a program at a degree or credential level different from the educational offerings currently included in the institution's scope of accreditation.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for the addition of a program at a degree or credential level different:

1. **Step One:** Submit a New Degree/Credential Level Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application and selects the courses required for review based on the selection criteria under II.3.a.i or II.3.a.iii. DEAC sends the institution a letter indicating the courses required for submission along with the fee.

- 2. Step Two: Submit a New Degree/Credential Level Application Part 2 including the identified courses for offsite subject specialist review. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards. The Commission reviews the report and, upon approval, provides notification to the institution within 30 days permitting enrollment into the program.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months to 1 year after implementing the new program and enrolling students. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

g. ACADEMIC UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

- i. Institutions may define their programs in terms of credit hours or clock hours and thereby adopt a common classification system that is understood and recognized by the higher education community.
- Significant Increase or Decrease in Clock or Credit Hours: The alteration of a course or program that represents significant modification in the objectives or content of an approved course or program is considered a substantive change. As a general rule, this means any increase or decrease in courses or programs in clock or credit hours, from the original date of course/program approval, the date of approval of a previous substantive change to the course/program, or the most recent grant of accreditation, in the clock or credit hours of an existing course/program.
- iii. **Changing from Clock to Credit Hours:** An institution changing from clock to credit hours is a substantive change.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for this substantive change:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit a Change of Academic Units of Measurement Application including additional course/program documentation listed below. The institution submits 25% of courses reflecting the revised academic units of measurement.
- 2. **Step Two:** The institution's Change of Academic Units of Measurement Application and course/program documentation are submitted to an off-site subject specialist for review. The institution receives an off-site subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meets standards.
- 3. **Step Three:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

h. Addition of an In-Residence Program Component

- i. Adding an in-residence program component is a substantive change.
- ii. This substantive change applies to the use of when implementing or programs a component that requires students to complete an onsite or an in-residence study component.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for an addition of an in-residence program component:

- 1. **Step One:** Submit an In-Residence Component Application Part 1. DEAC reviews the application, evaluates how the residential component complements, enhances and applies the knowledge acquired from the approved courses for the program. DEAC sends the institution a letter identifying any questions for clarification along with the fee requirement.
- 2. **Step Two:** Submit an In-Residence Component Application Part 2 including a residential component outline that shows alignment with the program coursework. The institution receives the offsite subject specialist report and has 90 days to respond to any partially meets or does not meet standards. The Commission reviews the report and, upon approval, provides notification within 30 days to the institution

permitting enrollment into the new combination distance study/in-residence program.

- 3. Step Three: Within 30 days of students attending the in-residence program site, the institution submits an In-Residence Component Application Part 3. The institution receives an onsite visit 6 months to 1 year after the first students begin attending the in-residence program site. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

i. ENGAGING IN FEDERAL STUDENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

- i. To protect future distance education students and to provide direction to institutions as they seek to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs, DEAC believes it is prudent to provide additional procedures and guidance for its member institutions that are aligned with the published Federal requirements for participation in Federal Student Assistance (FSA) programs. [C.15. Policy on Institutions Participating in Title IV Programs Page 1]
- ii. <u>DEAC limits</u> the percentage of revenue received from Federal student assistance programs in the first year of authorized participation, the adoption of FSA Appendix D default reduction methods at inception, and additional required controls over student loan default levels for any institution that in any published cohort year has a cohort default rate greater than 30%. The position of DEAC regarding these additional areas of oversight provides a level of preventative action where the requirements are more stringent than the published Federal policies and provide the DEAC with additional control over institutions it accredits that elect to participate in <u>Federal Student Assistance Title IV</u> programs. [C.15. Policy on Institutions Participating in Title IV Programs Page 1]
- iii. It is DEAC's expectation that any accredited institution electing to participate in <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs will meet all Federal program responsibilities under Title IV of the Higher Education Act, as amended, without exception. In cases where DEAC standards and Federal regulations differ, the more stringent rules apply.

- iv. For each institution that elects to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs, DEAC examines the record of the institution's compliance with its Federal program responsibilities under Federal Student Assistance regulations, based on the most recent "official cohort default rates" published by the U.S. Department of Education; the results of its audited financial statements; and its compliance audits, any program reviews conducted, and any other information that the U.S. Department of Education may provide to DEAC. The Commission takes action, as appropriate, when any of the information suggests the institution may be failing to meet DEAC's standards.
- v. Institutions found by either DEAC or the appropriate Federal authorities, or a relevant state authority to be in significant non-compliance with its <u>Title IV</u> <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> program responsibilities or requirements jeopardize the institution's accredited status with DEAC.
- vi. **Scope of Activity:** The institution may elect to become a <u>Federal Student Assistance program</u> eligible institution and not participate in any <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs. Any programs selected for <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> program participation must meet the Federal minimum requirements for program eligibility as well as meet DEAC's <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> program requirements. (Note: the U.S. Department of Education considers an eligible institution to be the "sum of its eligible programs.")
- vii. **Eligibility:** The institution that uses, or seeks to use, accreditation by DEAC to establish eligibility to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs must first offer "distance education" courses as defined under the formal definition established by the U.S. Department of Education.

Any programs selected by the institution to be Federal Student Assistance program eligible must have existed in substantially the same length and subject matter as the institution provided during the 24 months prior to the date it applies for eligibility with the U.S. Department of Education.

For the purposes of qualifying institutions to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs, any DEAC institution that intends to apply must meet all eligibility requirements, including the minimum program length requirements, expressed in weeks and academic credits, as set forth in the law and regulations for Federal student assistance program participation.

viii. **Academic Units of Measurement:** DEAC reviews the institution's policies and procedures for determining the credit hours as defined in 34 CFR 600. DEAC evaluates the process an institution uses to award credits for courses and programs and makes a reasonable determination whether the institution's assignment of credit hours conforms

to commonly accepted practices in higher education.

- ix. **Licensure:** The institution that uses, or seeks to use, accreditation by DEAC to establish eligibility to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs must have a charter, license, or formal authority from the all appropriate governmental bodies to offer its programs or courses, when such authority is available or required. The loss of state licensure or required authority to operate results in the contemporaneous loss of DEAC accreditation and Federal aid eligibility.
- Assistance programs by eligible institutions may not comprise more than 50% of an institution's total revenue during its first 12 months of eligibility for Federal Student Assistance program participation, and not more than 75% of its revenue for the second 12 months and all subsequent years of participation. "Revenue" is defined as total receipts from all of the institution's distance education students for tuition, books, fees, and all institutional charges, excluding refunds made, regardless of whether they received Federal Student Assistance programs funds. [C.15. Policy on Institutions Participating in Title IV Programs Pages 2-3]

Students who enrolled in an institution's programs prior to the date in which <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> eligibility is granted and who subsequently elect to receive <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> will not be included in the institution's <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> revenues.

An institution that, due to its participation in <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs, experiences annual growth of more than a 50% increase in student enrollments, and/or has more than a 50% increase in annual tuition receipts in any calendar year may be directed to undergo an onsite evaluation, at the discretion of the DEAC.

xi. **Certification of the Institution by DEAC:** Those institutions that use their accreditation with DEAC as a basis to establish eligibility for <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs must apply to the Commission for approval of all the distance education programs offered by the institution.

Prior to an accredited institution filing an application to the U.S. Department of Education to be either a participating institution or a deferment institution in <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs, it must inform DEAC of its intention to be evaluated and "certified" by DEAC and must be found in compliance with all requirements.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs:

1. <u>Step One:</u> A key person from the institution successfully completes the DEAC course entitled, *Realities and Regulations of Federal Student Assistance Programs*. Submit an Eligibility for Federal Student Assistance Application Part 1.

An institution seeking to participate in Federal Student Assistance programs is required to be certified by DEAC prior to applying to the U.S. Department of Education. Violation of any provisions of these procedures, including applying to the U.S. Department of Education without first seeking and receiving DEAC certification, may subject an institution to corrective action, special visit, or loss of accreditation.

- 2. **Step Two:** Submit an Eligibility for Federal Student Assistance Application Part 2 that identifies programs intended for participation in Federal Student Assistance programs.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit to verify its compliance with Federal minimum requirements and DEAC procedures. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. <u>Step Four: The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approved or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.</u>
- xii. An institution participating in Federal Student Assistance programs pays particular attention to documenting and demonstrating compliance with the following requirements in addition to the DEAC Accreditation Standards.
 - 1. Mission: The institution's educational offerings are in a field of study in which the institution demonstrates competence and strength. [C.15. Policy on Institutions Participating in Title IV Programs Page 4]
 - 2. **Satisfactory Academic Progress:** The institution implements and publishes a satisfactory academic progress policy that complies with all Federal student assistance requirements as stated in current Federal regulations.
 - 3. Regular and Substantive Interaction: The institution implements policies and procedures that assures regular and substantive interaction between students and faculty. The institution maintains records that

document appropriate interactions occur throughout the student's enrollment.

- 4. Career and Financial Aid Advising: The institution makes available to students, upon request, career advising related to their program of study. The institution makes available financial aid advising available to all students in need of financial assistance, students that are applying for financial assistance, and other persons seeking additional information regarding the process for applying and receiving Federal Student Assistance. Such advising may take place via a variety of media sources and communication methods. Upon request of the student, the institution provides personal assistance on questions related to the application and delivery of financial aid.
- 5. **Entrance and Exit Loan Advising:** The institution conducts entrance and exit loan advising that encourages <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> loan repayment. The institution, through the financial aid office and the use of available media, encourages repayment of any Federal Student Assistance student loan funds that were obtained for payment of the tuition and other costs associated with the student's attendance and enrollment in the institution's educational offerings.
- 6. **Disclosures:** Any statements the institution makes in any advertising, promotional literature, or other materials are complete and accurate about 1) its eligibility or participation in <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs, 2) its efforts to become certified to participate in such programs, and/or 3) the availability of <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> to students who enroll at the institution. The institution will not use the availability of <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> to students as the primary inducement or rationale for students to enroll in a program.

Any promotional literature, catalogs, websites, or other materials that describe the financial assistance available to students, including any <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> that might be available, state that the assistance is available only to those students who qualify, and include the federal and institutional requirements students must meet in order to qualify for and maintain eligibility for such assistance.

The institution discloses accurate course material information including ISBN and retail prices. The institution's textbook pricing policy for new or used textbooks is fair to students.

7. **Recruitment Personnel:** Institutional personnel involved in the recruitment of students as their principal activity do not have final decision-making authority in the approval or awarding of <u>Federal Student</u>

<u>Assistance</u>. An institution that participates in <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs is aware of, and complies with, all U.S. Department of Education regulations and restrictions on methods of compensation that pertain directly or indirectly, to the success in student recruiting or admissions activities or in making financial aid decisions.

- 8. **Refund Policy:** The institution has and implements a fair and equitable refund policy in compliance with state requirements, or in the absence of such requirements, in accordance with DEAC's refund policy standards under III.9.c. The institution discloses the date from which refunds are calculated (e.g., the date of determination of withdrawal or termination). The institution complies first with the Return of Federal Student Assistance requirements when a student who is a Federal Student Assistance recipient withdraws from an institution.
- 9. **Federal Student Assistance Administrator:** The institution employs a capable individual(s) responsible for administering all <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs in which it participates, and for coordinating those programs with the institution's other financial assistance programs. The institution employs other individuals, as needed, to assist in the administration of Federal Student Assistance programs.
- 10. **Default Management Plan:** The institution's default management plan addresses student loan information (borrower's rights and responsibilities, information regarding repayment and consolidation of student loan debt, communications with lenders and loan servicing agents, and the consequences of default), advising and monitoring, cooperation with lenders, and collection information to facilitate location of borrowers. The institution documents implementation of the default management program and regularly conducts an evaluation of the effectiveness of its efforts as part of its self-study program.

The published cohort rate for the institution for any cohort year—where 30 or more borrowers enter repayment—cannot exceed the allowable rate as prescribed by the U.S. Department of Education. Institutions that receive a published rate greater than 25% are required to implement and adhere to a default reduction plan that specifically outlines the means by which the institution will provide services and contacts to the borrowers in an attempt to reduce the cohort default rate.

11. **Financial Responsibility:** The institution meets the financial responsibility and administrative capability rules for Federal financial aid participation that includes the annual submission of audited comparative financial statements for the two most recent fiscal years, auditor opinion and management letters, and

composite score calculation.

- 12. **Program Reviews:** The institution notifies DEAC in writing within 10 days of having undergone any program reviews, inspections, or other reviews of its participation in Federal Student Assistance programs by the U.S. Department of Education. The institution also provides complete copies of any reports (both preliminary and final) of these reviews, and provides any available compliance audits, within 10 days of its receipt of these documents.
- 13. **Bankruptcy:** An institution that files for federal bankruptcy protection, contemporaneously and immediately forfeits its DEAC accredited status and Federal Student Assistance eligibility.
- 14. **Renewal of Accreditation:** Since the length of the <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> certification extends only through the institution's current term of accreditation, not to exceed five years; the institution must renew its compliance with <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> programs as part of its <u>renewal of accreditation</u>. The institution must readdress the <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> statements in its Self-Evaluation Report. During the onsite evaluation, a <u>Federal Student Assistance</u> evaluator verifies the information provided in the Self-Evaluation Report.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

j. ENGAGING IN INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES

- i. An institution seeking to add active international functions (e.g., training sites, recruiting, instruction, marketing, business) outside the United States, open branch campuses or coordinating offices in another country, or contract with foreign agents or educational entities, including formal articulation agreements, is required to obtain prior approval from the Commission. [C.17. Policy on International Activities Page 1]
- An accredited institution offering educational programs outside of their home country obtains all appropriate external approvals where required, including higher education system administration, government bodies, and DEAC. The institution documents the accepted legal basis for its operation in the host country or country of origin and meets legal requirements of the host country or country of origin.

These are the steps in obtaining Commission approval for this substantive change:

- 1. Step One: Submit International Activities Application Part 1 including required documentation and receive prior approval a minimum of 30 days before engaging in international activities. The completed application and documentation is presented to the Commission for initial approval.
- 2. **Step Two:** Once engagement in international activities is established, the institution submits an International Activities Application Part 2 including required documentation.
- 3. **Step Three:** The institution receives an onsite visit in the host country or country of origin within 1 year after engaging in international activities. An onsite visit is required in each of the countries where an institution is offering programs, providing instruction or tutorial services where recruiting of students, and other services for these programs are either conducted by an agency or individual formally contracted by the institution or results in an articulation agreement with an institution or entity in that country. The institution receives a Chair's Report and has 30 days to respond with any additional information or documentation necessary to support the substantive change.
- 4. **Step Four:** The Commission reviews all documentation submitted to date and approves or denies the substantive change in accordance with accreditation standards. DEAC notifies the institution 30 days after the Commission decision and notifies the U.S. Department of Education and other relevant constituencies.

See Substantive Change Flow Chart in Part Four: Appendices for further clarification. All applications are available on DEAC's website (www.deac.org).

14) Non-Substantive Changes

Non-substantive changes are those changes that require the institution to notify DEAC, but do not require prior approval. The following are non-substantive changes.

a. Change of President/Chief Executive Officer: When an institution makes a change in its President/CEO, as defined as the replacement of the senior level executive of the institution since the last accreditation evaluation, it must notify the Commission as soon as possible. The institution must submit a Letter of Notice to the Director of Accreditation. The letter must provide a full explanation as to when the change of President/CEO is being made, why it is being made, and how the

change will affect the institution's capacity to continue to meet all DEAC Accreditation Standards.

The institution provides documentation on the qualifications of the new President/CEO and a summary of the job description. The institution agrees that as part of the Change of President/CEO, the new President/CEO may be subject to a background check by DEAC, which may include, but not limited to, DEAC surveys of state educational oversight agencies, federal departments and agencies, consumer protection agencies, checks on the credit history, prior bankruptcy, criminal background, debarment from Federal Student Assistance Programs, the closing of educational institutions in which they were managers or principals, or the loss of accreditation or state approval to operate an educational institution.

Additional consideration may be required if the background of the proposed new management raises questions concerning compliance with DEAC Standard X as to his/her qualifications.

b. <u>Degree Program or Non-Degree Course Name or Title</u> Revision: An degree-granting institution that changes the name of a degree program without substantively changing the instructional content of the program or a non-degree granting institution that changes the name of a course without substantively changing the instructional content, submits a letter to the Director of Accreditation outlining the change, the reason for the change, and certifies that these are the only revisions to the degree program or non-degree course.

An institution changing the name/title of a degree program, name/title or course code of a degree course within an already approved program, or the title of a vocational/avocational program, the institution submits a letter to the Director of Accreditation outlining the change, the reason for the change, and certifies that these are the only revisions to the course or program. [C.5. Policy on Course/Program Approval—Page 8]

c. Certificate Program Containing Courses Already Approved: Degree-granting and non-degree granting institutions may find a need to create a certificate program containing courses already approved to meet a specific marketplace need.

Institutions may create certificate programs containing already approved courses that are exactly the same (e.g., require proctored exams, the same assignments, the same exams) as those offered in an already approved program and which would allow students to apply earned credits towards another program. The institution submits a letter to the Director of Accreditation outlining the change, the reason for the change, and certifies that the courses used to create the certificate program are the same courses approved by DEAC within the institution's current scope of accreditation.

DEAC reserves the right to direct the institution to the substantive change process when it appears that the change notification represents a significant departure from its accredited scope.

- d. Changing General Education Requirements or Eliminating a Major Thesis Requirement: An institution changing general education requirements or eliminating a major thesis requirement submits a letter to the Director of Accreditation outlining the change, the reason for the change, and certifies that these are the only revisions to the course or program.
- e. Contracting for Educational Delivery with an Approved AQC Provider or Other Accredited Institution: An institution can enter into an agreement to incorporate or contract for educational delivery up to 25% of its curriculum with an Approved Quality Curriculum (AQC) provider or other appropriately accredited institution recognized by the U.S. Department of Education or the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA) by submitting a letter to the Director of Accreditation listing the acquired courses, the courses that will be replaced, the reason for the change, the faculty responsible for reviewing and providing instruction, and certifies that these are the only revisions to the course or program.
 - DEAC reserves the right to direct the institution to the substantive change process when it appears that contracting for educational delivery appears to not be with an appropriately accredited institution.
- f. Adding Courses: If an institution adds courses similar to its existing educational offerings within its DEAC accredited scope as those that were offered when the institution was last evaluated, it submits a letter to the Director of Accreditation including the name of the courses, the reasons for their addition, and how they align with the existing programs and institutional mission.
 - DEAC reserves the right to direct the institution to the substantive change process when it appears that the change notification represents a significant departure from its accredited scope.
- g. **Discontinuing Courses or Programs:** If an institution decides to discontinue a course or program, it submits a letter to the Director of Accreditation explaining the reasons for the change. Programs being discontinued requires the inclusion of a program teach-out plan and the number of currently enrolled students.
- h. **Division Identity:** Institutions seeking to implement a division must notify the Commission in writing and provide a complete description of how the institution will disclose the division as part of the broader educational offerings. A "division" of a DEAC institution typically refers to any name used by an institution to advertise its various courses or programs. A "division" is owned and operated by the parent institution and is not a separate legal entity. For example, the distance education institution advertises its degree-granting programs under the name "Distance Education University" and its vocational courses under "Distance Education Institute" and its high school programs under "Distance Education High

School." Another example is the company, Distance Education Company, offers several programs and advertising each program by a different name; such as Distance Education Career School or Distance Education Photography School. DEAC requires that any separately advertised division be listed in the DEAC Directory of Accredited Institutions.

- i. **Closure of an Administrative Site:** When an institution decides to close an administrative site submits a letter to the Director of Accreditation at least 30 days prior to the closure. The letter provides the following information:
 - Name, address, and telephone number of the site;
 - The date and reason(s) for closing the administrative site;
 - Personnel names, titles, and job descriptions affected by the closing;
 - Information explaining what duties were carried out at the administrative site and where those duties will be carried out in the future;
 - Information on any significant changes in courses/programs or educational services, student support services, etc. resulting from the closure of the administrative site.
 - Information on changes to any advertising and promotional materials (including website) resulting from the closure of the administrative site.
 - If any official documents were kept at the administrative site, explain when and where the records will be transferred.
 - Evidence that the institution has properly notified the appropriate licensing, authorizing, or approving state educational agency concerning the closure of the administrative site.

15) TEACH-OUT PLANS

- a. Institutions submit a comprehensive, written teach-out plan for its enrolled students for DEAC approval when any of the following events occur:
 - The U.S. Department of Education has notified the Commission of an action against the institution pursuant to Federal Regulations, Section 487 (f) [20 USC 1099 b];
 - The Commission has withdrawn accreditation from an institution;
 - The Commission has directed the institution to Show Cause as to why its accreditation should not be withdrawn;
 - A State licensing or authorizing agency notifies DEAC that an institution's license or legal authorization has been or will be revoked;
 - The institution has notified the Commission that it intends to cease operations; or

- The Commission has made a determination that an institution appears to lack sufficient resources to sustain effective operation in meeting its obligations to students or enters bankruptcy.
- b. **Teach-Out Plan:** At a minimum, the proposed teach-out plan must assure that all students who enrolled in the institution receive all of the training or education under the terms of their contracts, to include receiving all learning materials and student services on a timely basis.

Two approaches to teach-out plans:

- The institution plans to teach-out its own students; or
- An executed teach-out agreement with one or more appropriately accredited institutions currently offering programs similar to those offered at the closing institution.

Minimum components for any teach-out approach include:

- A listing, by name and student number, of all students in each program and their estimated completion/graduation dates, the status of unearned tuition, all current refunds due and account balances;
- Arrangements for disposition of all student records, including educational, accounting, and financial aid records, in an accessible location and in accordance with applicable legal requirements in the event the institution closes;
- Instructions on how curricula and learning management software may be accessed to conduct a teach-out;
- An explanation, accompanied by appropriate supporting documentation and timelines, of how the closing
 institution will notify students in the event of closure, and, if applicable, how the closing institution will notify the
 students of the teach-out;
- For institution's offering hybrid programs (distance study and required face-to-face instruction) an explanation and evidence as to how the teach-out institution has the capacity to provide the students with instruction and services without requiring the students to move or travel substantial distances from the closing institution, and the adequacy of the teach-out institution's facilities and equipment.
- A statement which evidences that state regulations regarding any student protection funds and/or bonds are followed, if applicable;
- A statement that describes any additional charges/fees and notification to students about the charges/fees; and
- A description of what financial resources will be used to make student refunds or fund the teach-out.

DEAC reviews any teach-out plan that includes a program accredited by another recognized accrediting agency and will notify that accrediting agency of any approval or rejection.

- c. **Teach-Out Agreement:** DEAC approves teach-out agreements only if the agreement is consistent with DEAC standards and the criteria listed below and provides for the equitable treatment of students. The teach-out institution must have the necessary experience, resources, and support services to provide an educational program of acceptable quality and that is reasonably similar in content and structure to that provided by the institution that is ceasing operations. The teach-out institution must also be able to remain stable, carry out its mission, and meet all obligations to existing students.
- d. When a DEAC institution enters into a teach-out agreement voluntarily or at the DEAC's direction, the agreement must be approved by DEAC prior to implementation. In such cases, the institution must provide documentation to demonstrate that the educational programs provided by the teach-out institution are of acceptable quality.

The following elements are considered in approving teach-out agreements:

- The agreement is with one or more institutions accredited by an agency that is recognized by the U.S. Department of Education and/or the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA). The institution is state licensed, and the institution currently offers programs similar to those at the closing institution.
- The agreement states that the student will be provided access to all the program of instruction, without additional cost, for which the student originally contracted and paid, but did not receive due to the [pending] closure of the institution. For hybrid programs, the teach-out institution must be near the closing institution so as to not require students to move or travel substantial distances.
- The agreement clarifies the financial responsibilities of all parties, including the assumption of any liabilities for tuition refunds and appropriate notification to students in a timely manner of additional charges/fees, if any.
- The agreement states whether, upon completion of the program, the student will receive a diploma, certificate, or degree from the teach-out institution, or whether the diploma or certificate will be awarded by the closing institution.
- The agreement indicates whether students who have already enrolled, but who had not yet started their program of study at the closing institution, or who are on a leave of absence from the closing institution will be entitled to begin training or re-enroll at the teach-out institution.
- The agreement states that the closing institution will provide the teach-out institution with copies of the following records for the students being taught out:
 - o Enrollment agreements
 - o Financial aid transcripts
 - Study/progress records

- o Academic transcripts
- Student account records
- o Any relevant curricula materials
- The agreement requires that the teach-out institution maintain records and documents for the students being taught out, and that the teach-out institution will report back to DEAC on a periodic basis the status of the teach-out.
- The agreement provides for appropriate notification to the Commission, federal, and state authorities.
- The agreement complies with applicable federal and state laws. [C.27. Policy on Teach-Out Plans Pages 2-3]
- e. Closure without Teach-Out Plan/Agreement: If a DEAC accredited institution closes without a teach-out plan/agreement or an institution refuses to provide a teach-out plan, DEAC will work with the U.S. Department of Education and the appropriate state agency, to the extent feasible, to assist students in finding reasonable opportunities to complete their education without additional charges.

16) ANNUAL REPORTS

- a. **Maintaining Accreditation:** The institution maintains accreditation on an ongoing basis by remaining in continuous compliance with all accreditation standards, procedures, and eligibility requirements. The institution is in continuous operation by educating students in accordance with its mission, fulfills all DEAC reporting requirements in a timely manner, maintains compliance with all applicable local, state, and federal requirements, and pays all DEAC dues, fees, and evaluation fees as applicable, on a timely basis.
- b. Annual Reports: Each year, DEAC requires the submission of an Annual Report by each institution holding accreditation status as of December 31st of any given year. The Annual Report and all accompanying documentation are due to DEAC in accordance with established formats and timelines. The Commission monitors institutional enrollment growth through the data submitted in an institution's Annual Report. When the Commission determines that an institution has undergone significant enrollment growth, the Commission may require the submission of additional information.
- c. **Significant Growth or Decline in Enrollments:** The institution is required to report and explain the reasons for any significant growth or decline in enrollments. DEAC defines significant growth in enrollments as the following:

If in a calendar year an institution reports:

• Fewer than 300 new students, more than 100% increase;

- Between 300-1,000 new students, more than 75% increase;
- Between 1,000-9,000 new students, more than 50% increase; and
- More than 9,000 new students, more than 25% increase.
- i. If an institution reports "significant growth in enrollments," it must explain in detail in the Annual Report the reason(s) for the growth and what additional staff, faculty, administrators, educational and student support services, financial resources, and marketing plans were employed to meet the needs for the increased number of students being served. The institution identifies the programs with the most growth by indicating the percent of growth since the last Annual Report, listing the reasons for the growth in the identified programs, and explaining the institution's plans for accommodating the enrollment growth.
- ii. If an institution reports "significant decline in enrollments," it must explain in detail in the Annual Report the reason(s) for the decline, the impact to staff, faculty, administrators, educational and student support services, financial resources, and marketing plans. A "significant decline in enrollments" is defined as an enrollment decline of 25% or more since the last Annual Report.
- d. **Significant Growth or Decline in the Number of Programs:** The institution is required to report and explain the reasons for any significant growth or decline in the number of programs offered. DEAC defines significant growth in the number of programs as the following:

If in a calendar year an institution reports:

- 1-3 programs; it adds more than 2 new programs;
- 4-10 programs; it adds more than 3 new programs;
- 11-20 programs; it adds more than 4 new programs;
- 21 or more programs; it adds more than 6 new programs.
- i. If an institution reports "significant growth in the number of programs," it must explain in detail in the Annual Report the reason(s) for the growth and what additional staff, faculty, administrators, educational and student support services, financial resources, and marketing plans were employed to meet the needs for the increased number of programs being offered.

- ii. If an institution reports "significant decrease in the number of programs," it must explain in detail in the Annual Report the reason(s) for discontinuing programs, the impact to staff, faculty, administrators, educational and student support services, financial resources, and marketing plans. A "significant decline in the number of programs" is defined as the discontinuing 25% or more of its programs since the last Annual Report.
- iii. A "program" is a non-degree vocational or certificate program (e.g., medical billing and coding) or a degree program (e.g., Bachelor of Science in Criminal Justice). The addition or discontinuation of courses or concentrations follow the Non-Substantive Change procedures under II.14.f. and g. The addition of new programs follow the Substantive Change procedures under II.13.f.
- e. **Significant Changes in Financial Condition:** The institution is required to report and explain the reasons for any significant change in financial condition since the last Annual Report.
 - i. An institution submits audited or reviewed comparative financial statements if it reports a loss in its net income or a deficit in working capital or total equity/fund balance. The Commission reviews the financial statements and determines whether further reporting is required or other appropriate action is necessary.
 - ii. An institution participating in Federal Student Assistance programs reports additional information describing its participation and submits audited comparative financial statements including its compliance audit for its most recent fiscal year no later than June 30th.
- f. Commission Review and Follow-Up Action: DEAC staff acknowledge the receipt of all Annual Reports and request additional supporting documentation as necessary. All Annual Reports are reviewed, summarized, and significant changes reported and presented to the Commission. Annually, at its mid-year meeting, the Commission considers any significant, salient items reported by institutions and initiate further follow-up actions as necessary.
 - i. The Commission may place limits on an institution's future enrollment or program growth if ongoing compliance with DEAC accreditation standards or procedures is a concern. The Commission may request an institution to provide additional supporting documentation regarding significant growth or decline in enrollments or programs.
 - ii. DEAC staff notify institutions of their compliance with established student satisfaction benchmarks as compared to similar courses or programs offered at peer DEAC-accredited institutions. If an institution's student satisfaction rate falls below 75% or if completion and graduation rates are not within 15 percentage points of the mean rate for

institutions within the assigned peer group, the institution explains the reasons for not meeting established benchmarks and documents corrective actions taken. The institution's response and supporting documentation is reviewed by the Commission. The Commission notifies the institution if further action is required.

iii. Information provided by an institution participating in Federal Student Assistance programs is reviewed by the Commission to verify continued compliance with its federal student assistance program responsibilities based on the most recent "official cohort default rates" published by the U.S. Department of Education, results of its audited comparative financial statements, compliance audit, program review information, and any other information provided to DEAC by the U.S. Department of Education. The Commission takes action if any information suggests the institution is failing to meet DEAC accreditation standards and reserves the right to investigate the allegations. The Commission is obligated under Federal regulations [CF 602.27(a)(6)] to report to the U.S. Secretary of Education an institution it has reason to believe is failing to meet its Federal Student Assistance program responsibilities or is engaged in fraud or abuse.

17) COMPLAINTS (ACCREDITED INSTITUTIONS, ACTIVE APPLICANTS, AND DEAC)

a. **DEAC Complaints:** Complaints that reasonably allege instances of non-compliance with DEAC accreditation standards against accredited institutions, active applicants, and DEAC Evaluators, Commissioners, and Staff are investigated in a fair and timely manner.

DEAC's "Online Complaint System" enables individuals to file a complaint directly using the DEAC website. The complaint form is found at www.deac.org/Student-Center/Complaint-Process.aspx. All complaints should be submitted using this form. For those who cannot access the Internet, written complaints will be accepted provided they include the complainant's name and contact information and a release from the complainant(s) to the institution. Where circumstances warrant, the complainant may remain anonymous to the institution, but all identifying information must be given to DEAC.

Written complaints must contain the following: the basis of any allegation of non-compliance with DEAC standards and procedures; all relevant names and dates and a brief description of the actions forming the basis of the complaint; copies of any available documents or materials that support the allegations; a release authorizing DEAC to forward a copy of the complaint, including identification of the complaint(s) to the institution. In cases of anonymous complaints or where the complainant requests for his/her name to be kept confidential, DEAC considers how to proceed and whether the anonymous complaint sets forth reasonable and credible information that an institution may be in violation of DEAC's standards and whether the complainant's identify is not necessary to investigate.

- b. **Definition of Complaint:** A complaint is defined as notification to DEAC by any person or entity (including, but not limited to, any student, any faculty or staff of an accredited institution, any member of the general public, any representative of a federal, state, or local government, and any member of any other institution or organization) that sets forth reasonable and credible information that:
 - An accredited institution;
 - An applicant institution; or
 - The Evaluators, Commissioners, or DEAC Staff are not in compliance with one or more of DEAC's accreditation standards.

Where issues of educational services, student services, or tuition are concerned, a student complainant must have exhausted all efforts to resolve his/her complaint with the institution before considering filing a complaint with DEAC. Where issues of educational quality or compliance with DEAC standards or procedures are not central to the complaint, the DEAC will refer the complaint and/or the complainant to the appropriate federal or state agency or private entity with jurisdiction over the subject matter of the complaint and may provide a copy to the institution.

DEAC will not intervene on behalf of individuals in cases of a personnel action, nor will it review an institution's internal administrative decisions in such matters as admissions decisions, academic honesty, assignment of grades and similar matters unless the context of an allegation suggests that unethical or unprofessional conduct or action may have occurred that might call into question the institution's compliance with a DEAC standard or policy.

Further, DEAC will not intervene on behalf of individuals in cases where the situation giving rise to the complaint had occurred so long ago that investigating and ascertaining the facts might prove to be problematic. The Executive Director will exercise professional judgment in determining which cases meet these criteria. In addition, if, for any reason, DEAC suspects any type of unethical behavior, including fraud and abuse, by an applicant or accredited institution, DEAC reserves the right to investigate the allegations. DEAC is obligated under Federal regulations [CF 602.27(a)(6)] to report to the U.S. Secretary of Education any institution it has reason to believe is failing to meet its Federal Student Assistance program responsibilities or is engaged in fraud and abuse.

c. **Records of Complaints:** DEAC maintains records of all complaints. Complaints received against accredited institutions and the manner of their resolution are kept for two accreditation cycles (8-10 years). Complaints received against initial applicants are kept for a period of three years. DEAC provides summaries of these files to visiting examining committees

when they conduct onsite visits. DEAC also considers these summary files when it acts on an institution's application for initial reaccreditation or renewal of accreditation. The complaints are analyzed according to how the institution handles them or how they were resolved.

In addition, all other complaint files are tabulated and summarized and presented at each meeting of DEAC. The summary provides an analysis of any complaints unresolved, categories of complaints by nature and source, and any other information the Commission desires regarding the record of complaints received by the DEAC.

d. Complaints against Accredited Institutions: When DEAC accredits an institution, it expects the institution to remain in compliance with all DEAC standards for accreditation throughout the accreditation period granted. Therefore, one of the principal concerns of the DEAC when it receives a complaint about an accredited institution is whether the institution is in compliance with the published standards and procedures. The burden of proof rests with the institution to prove that it is meeting DEAC's published standards and procedures, at all times, to include proving compliance after accreditation is awarded. Another concern of DEAC is the methods, policies, philosophy, and procedures of the institution for handling complaints on an ongoing basis. DEAC expects its accredited institutions to have operational procedures in place for fairly and promptly resolving complaints so that they do *not* become a matter for concern by outside agencies. DEAC will consider a complaint even if the institution is involved in litigation with DEAC or other third parties. Therefore, in investigating a specific complaint against an accredited institution, DEAC also examines whether or not the institution has effective methods for handling student problems on a routine basis. In so doing, DEAC looks to see if the institution's procedures are equitable, consistently applied, and effective in resolving problems.

Finally, DEAC is concerned about the frequency and pattern of complaints about an accredited institution. DEAC expects the institution to monitor all complaints it receives, and expects the institution to take steps to assure that similar complaints do not become repetitive or routine.

- e. **Action:** When DEAC receives a complaint against an applicant or accredited institution, the DEAC's procedure for handling the complaint consists of the following steps:
 - After receipt of the complaint, the Commission staff will send a letter or e-mail to the complainant acknowledging receipt of the complaint and explaining the process the DEAC will follow in investigating the complaint.
 - DEAC staff will conduct an initial review of the complaint to determine whether the complaint sets forth information or allegations that reasonably suggest that an institution may not be in compliance with DEAC's standards and procedures. If additional information or clarification is required, the Executive Director (acting on behalf of the

- Commission) will send a request to the complainant. If the requested information is not received within **15 days**, the complaint may be considered abandoned and may not be investigated by DEAC.
- If the Executive Director determines after the initial review of the complaint that the information or allegations do not reasonably demonstrate that an institution is out of compliance with DEAC standards or procedures, the complaint may be considered closed and not investigated by DEAC.
- If the Executive Director determines after the initial review of the complaint that the information or allegations reasonably suggest or does not provide enough information to ascertain that an institution may not be in compliance with DEAC standards and procedures, the Executive Director will notify the institution that a complaint has been filed. The notice will summarize the allegations, identify the DEAC standards or procedures that were allegedly violated, and provide a copy of the original complaint to the institution. The institution will be given 30 days to provide a response, except for:
 - o In cases of advertising violations, DEAC staff forwards a copy of the advertisement to the institution, citing the standard that may have been violated. The institution is required a response within **15 days**.
 - o If a news article or media broadcast carries a negative report on a DEAC accredited institution, the institution is required to respond to the statement(s) within **15 days**.
 - o In cases when the complaints are from students concerning administrative services, student services, educational services, or tuition, the institution will be required to respond directly to the student within **15 days** to address his/her concerns.
- The Executive Director will review the complaint and the institution's response for compliance with the accrediting standards and procedures.
- If the Executive Director concludes that the allegations do not establish there has been a violation of standards or procedures, he/she will consider the complaint closed, and no further action is required.
- If the Executive Director concludes that the allegations may establish a violation of DEAC standards and/or procedures, he/she may take one of the following actions:
 - O Postpone the final action on the complaint for a period not to exceed 60 days if there is evidence that the institution is making progress in rectifying the situation. In the case of postponement of action, the complainant will be kept informed of the status of the complaint and its final action. NOTE: The failure of the institution to rectify the situation by the end of the 60 day period will be referred to the Commission for consideration and action.
 - Notify the institution that, on the basis of the information provided, the DEAC has determined that the
 institution is failing to meet the DEAC standards and that the DEAC is taking appropriate action. Such action
 may include requiring the institution to take specific corrective action and report back to the Commission and/or
 conducting a Special Visit to the institution on an announced or unannounced basis. If circumstances warrant,

the Commission may initiate action, including a show cause proceeding, that may result in the termination of the institution's accreditation. If appropriate, it may also include referring the matter to Federal, State, or local agencies for review and possible action.

In all instances, the Executive Director will send a letter to the complainant and the institution regarding the final
disposition of the complaint, and a record of the complaint will be kept on file at the DEAC office subject to
DEAC's document retention policies.

Note: The failure of the institution to provide either a response to the complaint or any additional information as requested by the Executive Director within the specified time frames will be considered a violation of the DEAC's policy on complaints and will be referred to DEAC for consideration and action.

An adverse action against an institution arising from a complaint will not be taken until the institution has had an opportunity to respond to the complaint within the time frames set forth by DEAC.

f. **Complaints about Applicant Institutions:** DEAC posts on its website and publishes a list of applicant institutions and encourages third-party comments. DEAC's Third Party Comments addresses receiving, processing, reviewing, and acting on third-party comments. If a complaint (as defined above) is received about an applicant institution, the procedures followed for handling the complaint are the same as for handling a complaint about an accredited institution (see above).

18) <u>Unethical Behavior</u>

- a. If, for any reason, DEAC suspects any type of unethical behavior, including fraud and abuse, by an applicant or accredited institution, DEAC reserves the right to investigate the allegations.
- b. DEAC is obligated under Federal regulations [CF 602.27(a)(6)] to report to the U.S. Secretary of Education any institution it has reason to believe is failing to meet its Federal Student Assistance program responsibilities or is engaged in fraud and abuse.

19) REVIEWING, ADOPTING, AND CIRCULATING CHANGES TO THE ACCREDITATION HANDBOOK

- a. The Commission has the power and responsibility to review, establish, and circulate its standards and procedures for evaluation and accreditation of distance education institutions.
- b. **Origin of Accreditation Standards and Procedures:** The Commission considers recommendations from any source and in any manner or form when reviewing its accreditation standards and procedures. The following is a list of some sources of recommendations for new or amended accreditation standards and procedures:
 - i. **Commission:** the Commission reviews its accreditation standards and procedures and any comments received at every meeting.
 - ii. **DEAC Staff:** the DEAC staff make recommendations and suggestions to the Commission of any accreditation standards or procedures that need to be strengthened.
 - iii. **DEAC Committee:** the Standards Committee makes recommendations to the Commission to continuously refine and revise standards to assure they continue to meet the needs of students and member institutions.
 - iv. **DEAC Evaluators and Subject Specialists:** all DEAC evaluators and subject specialists are surveyed after each review and onsite visit to seek recommendations for clarifying accreditation standards and improving procedures.
 - v. **State Regulators:** DEAC invites a representative from the state regulator's office where the institution is located to observe onsite visits and provide feedback on DEAC accreditation standards and procedures.
 - vi. **Government Agencies:** input and changes from the U.S. Department of Education and the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA) inform revisions to DEAC accreditation standards and procedures.
 - vii. **Educators, Faculty, and Administrators:** educational industry professionals provide recommendations for revisions to DEAC accreditation standards and improvements to procedures based on best practices.
 - viii. **Consumer Groups:** DEAC surveys consumer protection groups (e.g., Better Business Bureaus, Chambers of Commerce, etc.) to seek suggestions for improvement of accreditation standards and procedures.

- ix. **Applicant and Accredited Institutions:** Each applicant and member institution is encouraged to provide thoughtful feedback and suggestions for clarification and revision of DEAC accreditation standards and procedures for continuous improvement.
- x. **Third-Party Review:** DEAC periodically retains an independent organization to review its accreditation standards and procedures and to conduct rigorous validity and reliability surveys.
- xi. **Students and the General Public:** DEAC seeks input and feedback from students through surveys. Student complaints and correspondence are responded to by DEAC staff and used during reviews of accreditation standards and procedures.
- xii. **Industry Representatives and Employers:** DEAC surveys the employers of its member-institutions' graduates.
- c. **Systematic Program Review:** DEAC seeks input and collects data from its communities of interest including internal and external constituencies. DEAC uses this data when evaluating and drafting changes to its accreditation standards and procedures. DEAC performs a systematic review of its accreditation standards and procedures using comments, recommendations, and data collected various resources. Elements of the systematic review process include:
 - i. Every five years, DEAC engages an independent, third party organization to survey accredited institutions, DEAC evaluators (e.g., faculty from appropriately accredited institution recognized by the U.S. Department of Education), subject specialists, and students (e.g., active, graduates, inactive, and withdrawn) on the validity and reliability of DEAC's accreditation standards and procedures. These surveys focus on the adequacy and relevance of the accreditation standards and its effectiveness in enabling DEAC to evaluate the quality of distance education. The third-party organization evaluates DEAC's accreditation standards and procedures individually and as a whole.
 - ii. The DEAC Standards Committee collects feedback from member institutions and other interested constituencies as part of the review process. The Committee creates special Task Forces to address the evaluation of the information and determine whether current accreditation standards or procedures need revision. The DEAC Standards Committee meets twice a year at the DEAC Annual Conference and Fall Workshop.
 - iii. DEAC staff propose revisions to accreditation standards and procedures to assure continued compliance with recognition criteria from the U.S. Secretary of Education and the Council for Higher Education Accreditation

(CHEA).

- iv. DEAC routinely surveys graduates and their employers and publishes the data collected every 5 years. The results of these surveys inform the Commission of any revisions needed to accreditation standards or procedures.
- v. Interested constituencies, institutions, and organizations are continuously encouraged to submit comments and recommendations for revision on current accreditation standards and procedures. Comments and recommendations are sent to DEAC's Executive Director.
- d. **Processes and Procedures for Adoption:** The following process is followed for adopting revisions to DEAC's accreditation standards and procedures.
 - i. All recommendations for revision to current accreditation standards and procedures are collected by DEAC staff and submitted to the DEAC Standards Committee for initial review. The DEAC Standards Committee proposed revised language or develops new accreditation standards or procedures based on the feedback received. Once the proposed language is approved by the DEAC Standards Committee, it is forwarded to the Commission for review. The Commission considers the recommendations and reviews the proposed language and either approves the changes as proposed or makes revisions and then approves the revised language. Not all proposed changes are reviewed by the DEAC Standards Committee. The Commission collaborates with DEAC staff to revise accreditation standards and procedures as necessary to assure continued compliance with Federal regulations.
 - ii. Upon Commission approval of the revised accreditation standards or procedures, the proposed language is sent to member institutions, the public, and other stakeholders for comment. The proposed language is sent to recipients on DEAC's mailing list (e.g., member institutions, non-member institutions, government agencies, other accrediting agencies, and other constituencies) via DEAC publications and comments are solicited within an established timeframe (usually 30 days). A notice is posted on DEAC's website to allow the general public to review and comment on the proposed changes. DEAC encourages all internal and external communities of interest, including those that have made their interest known, to comment on any proposed changes.
 - iii. The Commission requests and receives comments on recommendation or proposed language at least 30 days prior to its next scheduled meeting to allow time for the Commission to review feedback before formally adopting the proposed language. The Commission reviews and carefully considers all comments before making a final decision.

- iv. If exigent circumstances exist that necessitate a material change to DEAC accreditation standards or procedures become final and effective immediately, the Commission publishes the change in final form without regard to the notice and comment procedures state in II.6. Interested parties are provided an opportunity to comment on the change as soon as practicable after publication.
- v. The Commission can adopt accreditation standards and procedures as proposed, adopt with changes or modifications, defer action until further study and consideration is given, or reject the proposed changes outright. Once final accreditation standards or procedures are adopted, the Commission establishes the effective date providing a reasonable time for compliance by member institutions. The entire process typically takes 6 months. The Commission makes necessary changes within 12 months after determining changes to accreditation standards or procedures are needed.
- e. **Circulation of Accreditation Standards and Procedures:** Upon final adoption by the Commission, the DEAC Executive Director announces the new or revised accreditation standards or procedures and any actions necessary for implementation to the public and relevant stakeholders. Effective dates for the new or revised accreditation standards or procedures are included in the announcement along with the date institutions are required to come into full compliance. DEAC circulates the new or revised accreditation standards or procedures following the processes below:
 - i. New or revised accreditation standards or procedures are posted on DEAC's website and published in DEAC publications that are sent to all internal and external constituencies.
 - ii. The following DEAC publications are updated to include the new or revised accreditation standards or procedures.
 - 1. The *DEAC Accreditation Handbook* is revised and updated annually in January. A copy of the *DEAC Accreditation Handbook* is sent to each member institution and is made available on the DEAC website. An "update sheet" is provided noting changes made since the previous edition.
 - 2. DEAC publishes the "update sheet" on its website for review by evaluators and subject specialists.
 - 3. DEAC routinely conducts webinars, seminars, workshop sessions, and issues guidance through Bulletins or emails on interpreting and applying new or revised accreditation standards or procedures.

- 4. DEAC updates its online training manuals and courses with new or revised accreditation standards or procedures.
- 5. DEAC staff reviews the new or revised accreditation standards or procedures with onsite evaluators before each onsite visit.

The Color/Symbol Legend for Part III

Commission Adopted Language: Black

New Content/Additions: Purple Strikethrough: Proposed Deletion Underline: Proposed Addition



PART THREE: ACCREDITATION STANDARDS, CORE COMPONENTS, AND IMPACT STATEMENTS

DEAC's <u>12 accreditation standards</u> foster improvement and assure quality of distance education institutions. Accredited institutions demonstrate their commitment to delivering quality educational offerings through a culture of continuous improvement. These <u>12 accreditation standards</u> guide institutions in their efforts to serve students and the public through their published <u>mission</u>.

Part Three of the Accreditation Handbook provides an introduction to each standard, core components, and impact statements. The introduction summarizes the core components of each standard. Core components apply to all institutions regardless of educational offerings. Additional standards applicable to specific levels of study are provided following the related core components. Impact statements are not standards, but indicate the characteristics exemplified by institutions that meet or exceed the standards.

1) STANDARD I: INSTITUTIONAL MISSION

INTRODUCTION

An institution's mission communicates its purpose and solidifies its identity within the educational community. The mission reflects a commitment to providing quality distance educational offerings that meet the needs of students and relevant stakeholders. The institution implements compliance with standards and procedures within the context of its mission assuring that educational offerings and administrative practices are of sufficient quality to achieve the mission. This section identifies **three** (3) **core components** of Standard I.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. DESCRIPTION OF THE MISSION

The institution's mission communicates its purpose, commitment to providing quality distance educational offerings, and is appropriate to the level of study offered. The mission establishes the institution's identity within the educational community and guides the development of educational offerings.

The institution's mission statement includes its general purpose, commitment to providing quality distance education programs, and is supported by measurable goals and objectives appropriate to the level of study offered. The institution's educational offerings are appropriate to its mission and values goals and objectives. The educational offerings are in a profession or subject area in which the institution has demonstrated strength and are appropriate to its mission and values. [Accreditation Standards—Page 1] [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs—Page 2]

b. REVIEW AND PUBLICATION OF THE MISSION

The leadership, faculty, staff, administrators, and other stakeholders regularly review the mission to assure continued institutional viability. The mission is widely disseminated and readily accessible to students, faculty, staff, and other stakeholders.

Faculty, staff, administrators, and other stakeholders regularly review the mission statement, goals, and objectives. The mission statement, goals, and objectives are widely promulgated and readily accessible to students, faculty, staff, and other stakeholders. [Accreditation Standards – Page 1]

c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MISSION

The institution identifies key indicators it uses to demonstrate that it is effectively carrying out its mission. The institution documents the achievement of its mission and shares appropriate information on this achievement with relevant groups (e.g., Advisory Councils, faculty, staff, students, and the public).

The institution effectively carries out its mission, attains its goals and objectives, and documents the achievement of its mission. This achievement is shared appropriate information on student achievement with relevant groups (e.g., Advisory Councils, faculty, staff, students, and the public). [Accreditation Standards—Page 1]

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution's mission communicates its purpose and commitment to delivering quality distance educational offerings. The mission identifies the institution and is regularly reviewed by all stakeholders. The institution measures ongoing achievement of its mission. The mission guides planning for future growth.

2) STANDARD II: INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS AND STRATEGIC PLANNING

Introduction

A fundamental attribute of institutional sustainability is monitoring effectiveness and planning for strategic growth. An institution implements a comprehensive effectiveness plan incorporating a feedback loop that results in administrative and academic enhancements. Additionally, an institution plans for future growth and financial stability through focused activities within an effective strategic planning program. This section identifies **two (2) core components** of Standard II.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. Institutional Effectiveness Planning

The institution demonstrates a commitment to its educational offerings and administrative operations through processes that monitor and improve institutional effectiveness. The institution engages in sound research practices and analysis of data used to improve operations, educational offerings, and services.

An institutional effectiveness plan is designed and implemented to identify internal and external trends and patterns, optimize opportunities, address challenges, reflect on achievements, identify financial resources, and maintain quality. The plan encompasses service to students, professional growth of its instructors/faculty and staff, provides for long-term quality and growth of the institution, maximizes the use of appropriate technology, and assures adequate fiscal financial resources

necessary to achieve strategic goals and objectives. The institution analyzes collected data on a systematic and consistent basis. [Accreditation Standards Page 8] [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs Page 19]

b. STRATEGIC PLANNING

The institution engages in strategic planning that aligns with and demonstrates a shared commitment to the mission. The institution's planning process involves all areas of the institution's operations (e.g., admissions, academic, technology, etc.) in identifying strategic initiatives and goals by evaluating external and internal trends for continued growth. At a minimum, the strategic plan addresses finances, academics, technology, admissions, marketing, personnel, and sustainability. The strategic plan is reviewed and updated annually using established metrics designed to measure achievement of strategic planning activities.

Sound institutional research procedures and techniques are used to measure how effectively the stated institutional mission, goals, and objectives are achieved. The institution's self-initiated efforts are used to improve operations, educational offerings, and services. [Accreditation Standards – Page 8] [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 19]

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution demonstrates a commitment to its educational offerings and administrative operations through processes that monitor institutional effectiveness and strategies for future growth. The institution engages in research practices, collects meaningful data, and implements ongoing improvements. The institution involves relevant stakeholders in the development and achievement of strategic initiatives to assure sustainability.

3) STANDARD III: PROGRAM OUTCOMES OBJECTIVES, CURRICULA, AND MATERIALS

Introduction

Program <u>outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u> reflect academic competencies at an appropriate level and rigor. They communicate the knowledge and skills students will acquire upon successful completion of the program. The effective design of program outcomes, curricula, and supplemental materials result in cohesive <u>and comprehensive</u> educational <u>offerings programs</u> and evaluation methods of student learning that are clearly connected to the stated <u>outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u>. Institutions <u>deliver demonstrate</u> clear, up-to-date, and well-organized <u>program outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u>, curricula and instructional materials and provide access to appropriate learning resources. Institutions present evidence that all <u>educational offerings programs</u> conform to commonly accepted education practices. This section identifies **nine** (9) **core components** of Standard III.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES OBJECTIVES

Program <u>outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u> are clearly defined, simply stated, <u>and</u> indicate the benefits for students who are reasonably capable of completing the <u>educational offering program</u>. <u>Program Course learning outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u> are linked to <u>program learning</u> outcomes as identified by the institution and are consistent with the curricula offered. [Accreditation Standards – Page 1]

b. APPROPRIATE PROGRAM OUTCOMES OBJECTIVES

The program <u>outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u> <u>are measurable</u> and reasonably attainable through distance education. Appropriate program <u>outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u> clearly communicate the knowledge, skills, and abilities students will obtain upon completion of the <u>educational offering academic program</u>. Program <u>outcomes</u> <u>objectives</u> reflect the level of student achievement expected that promotes critical thinking, ethical reasoning, social responsibility, global citizenship, civic engagement, or lifelong learning as applicable to <u>educational offerings</u> <u>programs offered</u>. [Accreditation Standards – Page 1]

i. DEGREE PROGRAMS

All required academic or professional activities such as, program <u>outcomes</u> <u>learning objectives</u>, course learning outcomes, research projects, supervised clinical practice, field work, applied research exercises, thesis, dissertations, are clearly stated.

ii. If required, capstone projects are consistent with accepted academic and professional standards based on commonly accepted higher education practices and any applicable relevant professional organizations. Capstone project learning outcomes are clearly stated.

iii. Doctoral Degrees

Doctoral degree programs' learning objectives and <u>outcomes</u> are advanced, focused, and scholarly, providing the breadth and depth of learning indicative of advanced degrees. Professional doctoral degrees prepare scholars to become leaders in their field of study through the pursuit and contribution of contemporary research that is applied, practical, or project-oriented and is focused on the application of knowledge to a profession.

Doctoral degree programs' learning activities include, as appropriate, seminars, professional meetings, in-residence requirements, discussions with colleagues, participation in sustained synchronous or asynchronous online

conferences at predetermined points throughout the program, access to library services, and access to online chat rooms with fellow students, faculty, and relevant professionals.

The Professional Doctoral degree program requires students to work with a supervisory dissertation/research project committee that is knowledgeable in methods of graduate-level study and research in the subject area being studied. Doctoral degree program curricula includes the history and development of the field of study and its foundational theoretical principles.

c. Curricula Delivery

All curricula and instructional materials are appropriately <u>designed and</u> presented for distance education. Online materials sufficiently support the curriculum and are delivered using readily available, reliable technology.

d. Comprehensive Curricula and Instructional Materials

Curricula and instructional materials are sufficiently comprehensive for students to achieve the stated program <u>outcomes</u> objectives and its content is supported by reliable research and practice. The organization and presentation of the curricula and instructional materials are designed using sound principles of learning and grounded in distance education instructional design principles. The curricula and instructional materials are accurate and reflect current knowledge and practice. Effective procedures are continuously used to keep curricula and instructional materials up-to-date and reviews are conducted and documented on a periodic basis. Instructions and suggestions on how to study and how to use the instructional materials are made available to assist students to learn effectively and efficiently.

- i. The institution implements an Advisory Council for each major group of programs or major subject matter disciplines it offers. The Advisory Council includes members not otherwise employed or contracted at the institution consisting of practitioners and employers in the field for which the program prepares students. The institution convenes an Advisory Council meeting at least annually to provide the institution with advice on the current level of skills, knowledge, and abilities individuals need for entry into the occupation. The Advisory Council provides the institution with recommendations on the adequacy of educational program outcomes, curricula, and course materials as a part of the institution's effectiveness planning activities. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs Page 2]
- ii. The institution determines if courses in a program require any prerequisites. The institution also determines if courses are offered in a prescribed sequence to maximize students' achievement of the program outcomes.

iii. GENERAL EDUCATION FOR DEGREE GRANTING

General education courses place an emphasis on principles and theory not associated with a particular <u>field of study</u> occupation or profession. General education courses encompass written and oral communication; quantitative principles, natural and physical sciences; social and behavioral sciences; and humanities and fine arts that are designed to develop essential academic skills for enhanced and continued learning. General education courses convey broad knowledge and intellectual concepts to students and develop skills and attitudes that contribute to civic engagement and advance professional attainment.

iv. ASSOCIATE'S DEGREE

Associate's degrees are awarded in academic or professional subjects whether for terminal career or technical programs. Institutions design and offer programs in a way that appropriately balances distinct types of education and training and includes a comprehensive curriculum with appropriate coursework to achieve the program objectives. Associate's degree programs are a minimum of 60 semester credit hours or 90 quarter credit hours. General education courses comprise a minimum of 25% of the credits required for successful completion of an associate's degree program.

v. BACHELOR'S DEGREE

Bachelor's degree programs are designed and offered in a way that appropriately balances distinct types and levels of education and training and must include a comprehensive curriculum with appropriate coursework to achieve the program objectives. Bachelor's degree programs are a minimum of 120 semester credit hours or 180 quarter credit hours. General education courses comprise a minimum of 25% of the credits required for successful completion of a bachelor's degree program.

vi. Master's Degree

Master's degree programs are designed and offered in a way that provides for a distinct level of education and fosters independent learning and an understanding of research methods appropriate to the academic discipline. Graduate level courses are based on appropriate prerequisites, learning outcomes, and expectations. Institutions establish whether graduate courses are completed in a prescribed sequence to facilitate students' achievement of program objectives. Master's degree programs are a minimum of 36 semester credit hours or 54 quarter credit hours.

vii. FIRST PROFESSIONAL DEGREE

First Professional Degree programs are designed to offer an academic required credential leading to entry into a specific profession. The graduate degree program identifies competencies required for successful practice in the discipline. First Professional Degree programs require prior undergraduate preparation appropriate to the degree offered. The First Professional Degree program graduate demonstrates competencies that enable them to evaluate theories and engage in research relevant to the field of study. Demonstrated learning outcomes are comparable to those achieved during a minimum of 50 semester credit hours or their equivalent beyond the Bachelor's degree.

First Professional Degree programs signify both completion of the academic requirement for beginning practice in a given profession and a level of professional skills beyond what is normally required for a Bachelor's degree. This degree is usually based on a program requiring at least two academic years of work before entrance and a total of at least six academic years of work to complete the degree program, including both prior required college work and the professional program itself. Graduates should be competent in their basic discipline and be able to develop and evaluate new theories and carry out research. Typically, there is demonstrated learning outcomes comparable to those achieved during a minimum of 50 semester credit hours or their equivalent beyond the Bachelor's degree. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs — Pages 6-7]

viii. Professional Doctoral Degree

Professional Doctoral Degree programs are designed to offer practice-oriented content leading to an advanced academic credential. Professional Doctoral Degree programs identify and teach competencies that support advancements in the field of study. The Professional Doctoral Degree program graduate demonstrates the ability to conduct, interpret, and apply the results of appropriate research. Adequate oversight and advising is provided through all phases of the doctoral program including clinical practice or fieldwork required by the field of study. The program of study requires 60 graduate-level semester credit hours or their equivalent beyond the master's degree with a maximum of 15 semester credit hours for the dissertation or final research project. Professional Doctoral Degree programs are completed in no fewer than two years and no more than ten years from the date of initial enrollment. A first professional degree in the same discipline may reduce the program requirements when the institution requires a minimum of 90 total graduate semester credit hours after the Bachelor's degree.

The Professional Doctoral Degree is a practice oriented degree that signifies completion of advanced academic requirements in a given field comparable to those required at other appropriately accredited institutions offering similar degrees. Typically, an institution designs learning outcomes comparable to those achieved during a minimum of 60 graduate-level semester credit hours or their equivalent beyond the master's degree, of which a maximum of 15 credit hours may be earned for the dissertation or final research project. The program of study

requires doctoral candidates' to demonstrate the ability to conduct, interpret, ad apply the results of appropriate research in the field of study. If an institution's entrance requirement is a first professional degree in the same discipline, the number of graduate level semester credit hours may be reduced to conform to standard practice in the discipline, but may not be less than 90 total graduate semester hours after the bachelor's degree. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs — Page 7]

Professional Doctoral Degrees require dissertations or capstone projects involving original contributions to the field of study and applied research methods. An appropriately credentialed doctoral committee approves dissertation and capstone project topics. A dissertation or capstone project manual is provided that includes guidelines on identifying, researching, writing, and presenting the selected topic. Dissertations or capstone projects are orally defended by doctoral candidates before a doctoral committee via distance or in-person. Professional Doctoral Degrees are awarded upon final approval by a majority of the doctoral committee.

Dissertations requiring basic, original, or applied research—or a research project—are required of all students. Faculty advisors, in consultation with other faculty or practitioners, approve applied research topics and/or supervised clinical practice or fieldwork if required by the field of study. All dissertation topics and research projects are approved by a dissertation/research project committee appointed by the institution.

If the final research project or dissertation involves human research, the institution must require prior formal review and approval for all research involving humans through an institutional review board (IRB), which has been designated to approve, monitor, and review all research involving human subjects. The IRB should ensure that the subjects are not placed at undue risk, and that they have voluntarily agreed to participate and have received appropriate informed consent. The IRB must meet all federal regulations and the institution must be able to demonstrate they are in compliance including providing evidence that all IRB members have had appropriate training. (Title 45 Code of Federal Regulations Part 46).

Doctoral candidates' final project or dissertation are orally defended in person or at a distance to a dissertation/research committee. Doctoral degrees are only awarded following final project or dissertation approval by a majority of the dissertation/research committee.

A professional doctoral degree program must be completed in no fewer than two years from the date of initial enrollment and no more than ten years from the date of initial enrollment. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs Page 7]

e. Curricula Development

Qualified persons competent in distance education instructional practices and <u>experts</u> in their subjects or fields develop curricula content and prepare instructional materials.

f. ACADEMIC UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

The institution documents policies and procedures used to define the chosen academic unit of measurement. Academic units are measured by either clock hours or credit hours.

i. CLOCK HOURS

The institution documents its implementation and application of policies and procedures for determining clock hours awarded for its courses and programs. A clock hour is one instructional hour. One instructional hour is defined as 50 minutes of instruction in a 60 minute period.

ii. CREDIT HOURS

The institution documents its implementation and application of policies and procedures for determining credit hours awarded for its courses and programs. The assignment of credit hours must conform to commonly accepted practices in higher education documented by a comparison with other appropriately accredited institutions. A credit hour is defined as an amount of work represented by intended learning outcomes and verified through evidence of student achievement for academic activities.

iii. CREDIT HOUR DEFINITION

The institution implements a policy that demonstrates its credit hour assignment aligns with the following definition.

An amount of work represented in intended learning outcomes and verified by evidence of student achievement that is an institutionally established equivalency that reasonably approximates not less than:

One hour of classroom or direct faculty instruction and a minimum of two hours of out-of-class student work each week for approximately fifteen weeks for one semester or trimester hour of credit, or ten to twelve weeks for one quarter hour of credit, or the equivalent amount of work over a different amount of time; or

At least an equivalent amount of work as required in paragraph 1) of this definition for other academic activities as established by the institution, including laboratory work, internships, practica, studio work, and other

academic work leading to the award of credit hours.

In the case of a program subject to the clock-to-credit hour conversion requirements, institutions must determine the credit hours to be awarded for coursework under those requirements. (34 CFR 668.8(k) and (l)).

Semester and quarter hours shall be equivalent to the commonly accepted and traditionally defined units of academic measurement in accredited institutions. Academic degree or academic credit-bearing distance learning courses are measured by the learning outcomes normally achieved through 45 hours of student work for one semester credit¹-or 30 hours of student work for one quarter credit². This formula is typically referred to as a Carnegie unit and is used by the American Council on Education in its Credit Recommendation Evaluative Criteria.

¹one credit/semester hour is 15 hours of academic engagement and 30 hours of preparation ²one quarter hour credit is 10 hours of academic engagement and 20 hours of preparation

Student work includes direct or indirect faculty instruction. Academic engagement may include, but is not limited to, submitting an academic assignment, listening to class lectures or webinars (synchronous or asynchronous), taking an exam, an interactive tutorial, or computer assisted instruction; attending a study group that is assigned by the institution; contributing to an academic online discussion; initiating contact with a faculty member to ask a question about the academic subject studied in the course and laboratory work, externship or internship. Preparation is typically homework, such as reading and study time, and completing assignments and projects. Therefore, a 3 credit hour course would require 135 hours (45 hours of academic engagement and 90 hours of preparation). [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs Page 6]

iv. <u>Documenting Credit Hours</u>

The institution is accountable for demonstrating that each course and program requires the appropriate amount of work for students to achieve the level of competency defined by institutionally established course/program outcomes. The institution measures and documents the amount of time it takes the average student to achieve learning outcomes and specifies the academic engagement and preparation time.

All student work is documented in the curricula materials and syllabi, including a reasonable approximation of time required for students to complete the assignments. Evaluation of students' work is identified as a grading criterion and weighted appropriately in the determination of a final course grade.

g. EDUCATIONAL MEDIA AND LEARNING RESOURCES

Learning resources for faculty and students are available and appropriate to the level and scope of program offerings. Program designers and/or faculty/instructors effectively use appropriate teaching aids and learning resources, including educational media and supplemental instructional aids when creating programs and teaching students. The institution provides faculty and students with access to learning resources and libraries that are appropriate for the achievement of program learning outcomes.

i. Undergraduate Degrees

Resources are systematically and regularly evaluated to assure they meet students' needs and support the institution's programs and mission. A variety of educational materials are selected, acquired, organized, and maintained to fulfill the institution's mission and support all educational offerings. Faculty are involved in the selection of resources. Additional allocation of resources is reflective of educational offerings to support increases in student enrollment and to assure continued access to appropriate educational media and learning materials. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Pages 7-8]

ii. Graduate Degrees

In addition to the forgoing, students are provided access to library resources sufficient for research at the (postgraduate) doctoral level. The institution provides and encourages the use of library services, and if required, research and laboratory facilities, at a distance or through arrangements with local institutions.

h. Examinations and Other Assessments

Examinations and other assessment techniques provide adequate evidence of the achievement of stated learning outcomes. The institution implements grading criteria that it uses to evaluate and document student attainment of learning outcomes.

i. Undergraduate Degrees

Student achievement is assessed by multiple means of evaluation (e.g., student presentations, group projects, essays, research papers, participation in threaded discussions, supervised practica, or externships).

ii. Master's Degrees

Student achievement is assessed by multiple means of evaluation including a culminating experience required for program completion (e.g., capstone experience, comprehensive examination, research project, or master's thesis).

iii. <u>Doctoral Degrees</u>

Student achievement is assessed by multiple means of evaluation including qualifying examinations, comprehensive examinations, and dissertation or final research project. The comprehensive examination is given when all coursework is completed and prior to commencing work on the dissertation or final research project.

i. STUDENT INTEGRITY AND ACADEMIC HONESTY

The institution <u>publishes</u> clear, specific, policies related to student integrity and academic honesty. <u>The institution affirms</u> that the student who takes the examination is the same person who enrolled in the program and that the examination results will reflect the student's own knowledge and competence in accordance with stated learning outcomes. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 5]

i. Non-Degree Programs

<u>Institutions meet this requirement by using a secure login and passcode, administering proctored examinations, or by other means of secure technology.</u>

ii. DEGREE PROGRAMS

Institutions meet this requirement by administering proctored examinations at appropriate intervals throughout the program of study. Proctors use valid government-issued photo identification to confirm student identity or other means.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution demonstrates a commitment to delivering quality distance education by implementing curricula based on clear and measurable <u>outcomes</u> objectives for student learning that provides opportunities for all students to acquire the requisite knowledge, skills, and attitudes. The curriculum is up-to-date, well-organized, and adheres to sound principles of instructional design appropriate to the method of instruction. The institution documents achievement of program <u>outcomes</u> objectives through assessment methods that are relevant and appropriate to the level of educational offerings and prepared by appropriately qualified academic personnel. The institution supplements curricula and provides students access to appropriate educational media and learning resources to allow for in-depth study and research.

4) STANDARD IV: EDUCATIONAL AND STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

INTRODUCTION

Effective institutions demonstrate attention and active involvement when addressing students' educational needs and goals throughout all phases of an academic program. Institutions demonstrate proactive procedures are in place to adequately respond to

students' inquires and educational needs, individual differences, and encourage program completion. Institutions implement appropriate assessment procedures using published grading policies and a fair, consistent marking system. Institutions demonstrate that students' records are adequately and securely maintained. Institutions provide adequate support services to assist students including relevant counseling services and a published complaint policy. Institutions offer comprehensive supplemental services to ensure students' have access to the support for successful program completion. This section identifies **ten** (10) **core components** of Standard IV.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. APPROPRIATE TECHNOLOGY

The institution uses appropriate and readily accessible technology to optimize interaction between the institution and the learner that enhances instructional and educational services. [Accreditation Standards – Page 3] Training and support for the technology used to deliver the academic program is provided for students, faculty, and involved practitioners.

b. STUDENT INQUIRIES AND SUBMISSIONS

The institution publishes all available methods students can use to submit inquiries and assignments. The institution responds promptly and thoroughly to all student inquiries.

c. Individual Differences

Academic advising and <u>instructional support</u> guidance are provided to assist students in achieving institutional and program requirements, program <u>outcomes</u> objectives, course learning outcomes, and educational goals <u>consistent with best</u> educational practices and as required by applicable federal and state laws. [Accreditation Standards – Page 3]

d. Encouragement of Students

The institution's policies and procedures optimize interaction between the institution and students. The interaction proactively promotes student completion and success.

e. <u>Satisfactory Student Progress</u>

The institution implements a satisfactory academic progress policy and discloses this policy to students. All standards for measuring satisfactory academic progress include qualitative and quantitative standards <u>used for evaluation of student progress</u> to evaluate student progress. Action is taken by the institution if students fail to meet the institution's minimum standards of progress.

f. Grading Policies

Student performance is measured using published grading policies that include prompt return of accurately, fairly, and consistently graded assessments that are supervised by a qualified instructor or faculty member. The institution publishes its assignment marking system, course extension policy, and information on issuance and completion of incomplete grades, and applies them with fairness and consistency. [Accreditation Standards – Page 2] Students are informed of their academic progress and standing in the program at regular intervals on an ongoing basis.

g. STUDENT RECORDS

Accurate student records are securely and confidentially maintained. Policies and procedures for keeping records on students' academic progress are in accordance with applicable <u>federal and state laws</u> and professional requirements. Transcripts are readily accessible and maintained permanently in either print or <u>digital</u> <u>electronic</u> form.

h. Confidentiality and Privacy

The institution implements policies to protect student confidentiality and privacy as required by applicable federal and state laws.

i. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

The institution provides support services designed for the students enrolled, such as financial aid guidance, advising services, employment assistance, and/or alumni services. Appropriate academic support services are readily available. Any career services and/or alumni services are offered as published.

j. STUDENT COMPLAINTS

The institution implements policies and procedures for responding to, addressing, and readdressing, as appropriate, student complaints.

i. INSTITUTIONAL COMPLAINTS

DEAC requires institutions to have written complaint policies and procedures for the purposes of receiving, responding to, addressing, and resolving, complaints made by students, faculty, administrators, or any party, including one who has good reason to believe that an institution is not in compliance with DEAC accreditation standards.

ii. At a minimum, the institution's policy instructs students how to file a complaint or grievance and the maximum time for resolution. The institution's complaint policy and procedure is available to all students. The institution

defines what it considers to be a student complaint.

- iii. The institution reviews in a timely, fair, and equitable manner any complaint it receives from students. When the complaint concerns a faculty member or administrator, the institution may not complete its review and make a final decision regarding a complaint unless, and in accordance with its published procedures, it assures that the faculty member or administrator has sufficient opportunity to provide a response to the complaint. The institution takes any follow-up action including enforcement action, if necessary based on the results of its review.
- iv. The institution's complaint policy states how complaints can be filed with state agencies and its accrediting organization, as appropriate.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution places emphasis on supporting the instructional needs of its students including documenting how instructional and non-instructional staff regularly engage to monitor students' progress through and completion of educational offerings. Appropriately qualified instructors or faculty members assure prompt responses are returned to students. The needs of individual students are anticipated and appropriate guidance is provided when accommodations are necessary. Institutions optimize interaction with students and incorporate those interactions into the continuous improvement of instructional materials and educational support services. Institutions maintain accurate, secure, and readily accessible records that are available to students. Institutional learning assessment procedures are guided by clearly published grading policies that encourage prompt return of all assignments and assessments. Supplemental student support services, relevant to the needs of the student population, are readily available. A clearly articulated process to address student complaints is implemented and the institution utilizes data gathered from this process to observe patterns and trends that are reviewed and incorporated into ongoing institutional improvement efforts.

5) STANDARD V: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND SATISFACTION

INTRODUCTION

Institutions implement a comprehensive assessment <u>program</u> <u>process</u> to monitor student satisfaction and achievement of learning outcomes. Institutions' outcomes assessment plan documents, monitors, and analyzes data collected to improve learning outcomes <u>and to inform</u> institutional <u>and program</u> <u>effectiveness activities</u>. This section identifies **three** (3) **core components** of Standard V.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

The institution evaluates student achievement using indicators it determines are appropriate relative to its mission and educational offerings. The institution evaluates student achievement by collecting data from outcomes assessment activities using direct and indirect measures. The institution maintains systematic and ongoing processes for assessing student learning and achievement, analyzes data, and documents that the results meet <u>both</u> internal and external benchmarks <u>including those comparable to courses or programs offered at peer DEAC-accredited institutions.</u> The institution demonstrates <u>and documents</u> how the evaluation of student achievement drives quality improvement <u>of educational offerings and support services</u>. [Accreditation Standards – Page 4] [C.14. Policy on Student Achievement and Satisfaction – Page 1-2, 5]

b. STUDENT SATISFACTION

Student <u>and alumni</u> opinions are systematically sought as one basis for evaluating and improving curricula, instructional materials, method of delivery, and student services. The institution regularly collects evidence that students are satisfied with the <u>administrative</u>, <u>educational</u>, <u>and support</u> services provided. [Accreditation Standards – Page 4] [C.14. Policy on Student Achievement and Satisfaction – Page 2-4, 6]

c. Performance Disclosures

The institution routinely discloses on their website reliable, current, and accurate information on its performance, including student achievement, as determined by the institution.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution demonstrates a commitment to its students and educational offerings by implementing a comprehensive assessment program based on clearly defined and measurable program and course learning outcomes. The assessment program is used to track student satisfaction, persistence, and the achievement of outcomes in order to evaluate the effectiveness of the curricula and instruction offered by the institution and improve student learning. The data received from these evaluations provide the institution with timely, accurate, qualitative, and quantitative information that is meaningful and used by faculty, administrators, and various stakeholders to measure institutional effectiveness and to analyze results of improvement efforts.

6) STANDARD VI: ACADEMIC LEADERSHIP AND FACULTY QUALIFICATIONS

Introduction

Institutions demonstrate effective leadership and a shared purpose through qualified and experienced administrators, faculty, and staff who are responsible for academic operations. Institutions demonstrate that qualified individuals are serving in all relevant academic roles and contributing to the educational process. The Chief Academic Officer and/or Educational Director is appropriately qualified by education and experience to maintain overall administrative responsibilities for all educational offerings. The institution demonstrates that the appropriate number of instructors/faculty are employed and qualified by education and experience to facilitate individualized instructional service to each student. The institution encourages continued professional development for all administrators, department heads, instructors/faculty, and staff that is monitored on a regular basis for the benefit of the institution and its students. Institutions document procedures assuring the hiring of qualified individuals. Institutions demonstrate a commitment and collaboration between administrators, faculty, and staff to providing quality distance education programs for continued growth. This section identifies **four (4) core components** of Standard VI.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. ACADEMIC LEADERSHIP

The institution demonstrates appropriate academic leadership capacity and infrastructure to support the effective <u>distance education delivery of educational offerings</u>. [Accreditation Standards – Page 5] Academic leadership possesses the academic credentials, background, knowledge, ethics, and experience necessary to guide the instructional activities of the institution.

b. CHIEF ACADEMIC OFFICER OR EDUCATIONAL DIRECTOR

The institution designates a chief academic officer, educational director, or other similar oversight position. This individual possesses the overall administrative responsibilities for the educational program(s); the educational, editorial, and research activities within the departmental subject fields; faculty/instructors; and informs marketing decisions.

Within the context of the institution's mission:

- i. The CAO or educational director has appropriate academic administrative experience and competence necessary to lead and manage educational offerings in a distance education environment.
- ii. The CAO or educational director possesses academic credentials that are appropriate for the leadership,

supervision, and oversight of faculty, curriculum design, and student achievement expectations.

iii. Doctoral Degrees

The institution has a <u>director</u> administrator for doctoral degree programs. The <u>director</u> administrator possesses previous higher education administrative capacity and distance learning knowledge to lead doctoral programs. The <u>director</u> Doctoral degree administrators possess the appropriate terminal degree earned from an appropriately accredited institution in a subject area relevant to the degree program being offered. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 8-9]

iv. DISSERTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

A doctoral committee, of at least three faculty members, is assigned for each student. Doctoral committee members possess appropriate credentials, scholarship, experience, and practice in the field of study. At least one member of the doctoral committee is a member of the awarding institution's faculty. At least two members of the doctoral committee have earned doctoral degrees from appropriately accredited institutions other than from the awarding institution. All committee members are qualified in the subject area of the dissertation or research project topic. The institution provides final approval for students who nominate faculty to the doctoral committee.

A supervisory dissertation/research project committee, of at least three faculty members, is formed for each student. All committee members demonstrate appropriate scholarship, experience, or practice in the subject area. In lieu of a dissertation, doctoral degree programs may require a project if the type of project is consistent with accepted higher education practices. The dissertation/research project committee includes at least two members who earned their doctoral degrees from appropriately accredited institutions other than the awarding institution. The committee members are qualified in the subject area of the student's dissertation or project. At least one member of the dissertation/research project committee is a member of the awarding institution's faculty. When students reach the point of dissertation or defending their research projects, students may have the option of nominating their dissertation members or major professors; however, the institution makes the final decision.

A dissertation or project manual is required and includes guidelines that pertain to the preparation for and writing the dissertation, for conducting research, and for reporting the results. The program provides a pattern of scheduled student interactions with faculty and other resource persons throughout the program. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs Page 8-9]

c. Instructors, Faculty, and Staff

Faculty/instructors are qualified and appropriately credentialed to teach the subject at the assigned level. The institution employs a sufficient number of qualified faculty/instructors to provide individualized instructional service to each student. The institution maintains faculty/instructors' resumes and official transcripts on file. Faculty/instructors are carefully screened for appointment and are properly and continuously trained on institution policies, learner needs, instructional approaches and techniques, and the use of instructional technology. The institution uses clear, consistent procedures to evaluate faculty performance. The institution assures that faculty are appropriately involved and engaged in the curriculum and instructional aspects of the educational offerings. [Accreditation Standards – Page 5] [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 11]

i. HIGH SCHOOL

The institution provides evidence that all instructors/faculty are qualified and appropriately credentialed to teach the subject and level within the high school program offered.

ii. Non-Degree

Instructors teaching technically or practice-oriented courses have practical experience in the field and possess current licenses and/or certifications, as applicable.

iii. Postsecondary

Faculty possess earned credentials awarded by appropriately accredited institutions. In judging faculty competence, consideration is given to the academic preparation and experience of each faculty consistent with educational practices of other similar programs comparability based on accepted higher education practices. Faculty teaching courses that are part of a degree in a specialized field possess the appropriate credential in the subject being taught and demonstrate expertise in the subject field. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 10-11]

iv. <u>Undergraduate Degrees</u>

Faculty teaching undergraduate degree program courses possess, at a minimum, a degree at least one level above that of the program they are teaching and demonstrate expertise in the subject field of the discipline. Faculty teaching undergraduate level general education courses at the undergraduate level must possess a Master's degree in the assigned general education subject field or have a Master's degree and 18 semester credit hours in the general education subject field.

v. Master's Degrees

Master's program faculty have earned a doctoral/terminal degree relevant to the program being offered <u>consistent</u> with educational practices of other <u>similar programs</u>. Faculty are assigned responsibilities based on <u>their degree</u> <u>qualifications and</u> area(s) of expertise. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 11]

vi. Exceptional Cases for Non-Degree through Master's Degree Programs

In exceptional cases, an earned degree in a relevant field at the same level of the program taught, in addition to outstanding professional experience and demonstrated contributions to the teaching discipline program may be presented. The institution justifies and documents in the faculty member's file the academic and professional preparation he or she has to teach the course(s), and what course(s) is/are being taught. Exceptional cases must be a justifiable minority and represent a small percentage of the total assigned faculty of the program. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs—Page 11] (Note: DEAC is relocating its procedures for exceptional cases to substantive change application forms, SER guide, and other documents as appropriate.)

vii. FIRST PROFESSIONAL DEGREES

All teaching faculty and involved practitioners possess a First Professional or higher degree earned at an appropriately accredited institution in a related subject field and possess specialized knowledge and skills in the subject area <u>consistent with educational practices of other similar programs</u>. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 11]

viii. Professional Doctoral Degrees

All teaching faculty possess terminal degrees (e.g., professional doctoral degree or Ph.D.) earned at an appropriately accredited institution in a related subject field. The institution has a dedicated Dean or other academic officer with credentials appropriate to the degree(s) being offered prior to enrolling students.

d. Professional Growth

The institution demonstrates a commitment to faculty and staff professional development. Faculty and staff are encouraged to become members of professional organizations, to review and apply relevant research, to pursue continuing education or training in their respective fields, and to enhance their skills in developing and using electronically delivered, online, or other forms of distance study. Faculty and administrators are provided access to a collection of professional educational materials to keep abreast of current trends, developments, techniques, research, and experimentation.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution demonstrates effective operations by establishing policies and procedures for delineating the roles and responsibilities for academic leadership and faculty for short and long term stability of academic leadership. The institution employs appropriately qualified academic personnel to oversee the delivery of educational offerings. Academic officers and department heads maintain responsibility for the accuracy of statements made regarding all academic matters. Instructional staff/faculty are sufficient in number and appropriately degreed to guide enrolled students through all phases of the learning process. Institutions maintain timely and accurate records of the qualifications of academic personnel including documentation of initial and ongoing professional development as a component for all positions. Institutions document the success of academic personnel through clear, consistent procedures designed to evaluate performance.

7) STANDARD VII: ADVERTISING, PROMOTIONAL LITERATURE, AND RECRUITMENT PERSONNEL

Introduction

All advertising and promotion is current, ethical, and accurately reflects institutional information that allows prospective students to make informed decisions without undue pressure. Institutions' recruitment efforts focus on those prospective students who are likely to be successful and meet their academic goals through the educational offerings of the institution. Recruitment involves any institutional personnel who engages in activities to attract or enroll students. This section identifies **three (3) core components** of Standard VII.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. ADVERTISING AND PROMOTION

The institution conforms to ethical practices in all advertising and promotion to prospective students. All advertisements, website, and promotional literature are truthful, accurate, clear, and readily accessible to the public and affirmatively discloses that programs are offered via distance education and appropriately discloses any occupational opportunities as applicable. All promotional literature, catalogs, enrollment agreements, manuals, and websites list the institution's full name and physical address. At a minimum, all advertisements include the institution's city, state, and web address. The institution complies with the Catalog Disclosures Check List.

i. All advertisements and promotional literature accurately reflect the programs and services offered by the institution. The word "guarantee" is never used in advertisements. The institution uses the word "free" only when the Commission determines that the use of "free" is appropriate to the mission and purpose of the institution. The word "free" is never used to describe any item, service, or materials regularly included as part of the institution's

eurricula offerings. Advertisements are appropriately published under a section identified for education, training, or instruction and do not imply that employment is being offered. [Business Standards – Pages 1-2]

- a. <u>IN-RESIDENCE PROGRAM COMPONENT</u>
 All required in-residence components and additional costs of an educational offering are disclosed on the institution's websites, advertisements, and promotional materials.
- ii. The institution's website testimonials and endorsements are truthful and under 4 years old. A signed student consent form for each published testimonial is maintained on file. The institution's website discloses all program requirements, course descriptions, tuition and related costs, program schedules, method of delivery, and its catalog prior to the collection of any personal student contact information. The institution does not use other institutions as triggers for their own sponsored links on Internet search engines.
- iii. The institution discloses on its catalog, website, and enrollment agreements that the acceptance of earned credits is determined by the receiving institution.
- iv. The institution <u>adheres to meets</u> applicable Catalog, Website, and Enrollment Agreement Disclosures Check Lists based on educational offerings. <u>The institution publishes student consumer information as required by Federal statute and regulations.</u> [C.15. Policy on Institutions Participating in Title IV Programs Page 7]
- v. Any incentives offered to prospective and current students to enroll do not exceed a nominal value of \$100 annually.
- vi. The institution permanently archives its catalogs.

b. Institution and Course Accredited-Status Recognition

The institution publishes and accurately reflects its accredited status. The institution uses the official DEAC accreditation logo and statement of accreditation in its advertisements, promotional literature, letterheads, and website. The institution states its accredited status in its catalog and on its website. DEAC's name, address, telephone number, and web address is published in the institution's catalog. An institution refers to its accredited status as follows:

• Accredited by the Distance Education Accrediting Commission

DEAC Accredited

- i. The accredited institution only refers to DEAC's recognition by the U.S. Department of Education as, "The Distance Education Accrediting Commission is listed by the U.S. Department of Education as a recognized accrediting agency."
- ii. The accredited institution only refers to DEAC's recognition by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA) as, "The Distance Education Accrediting Commission is recognized by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA)."
- iii. The accredited institution publicly corrects any misleading or inaccurate information it releases on its accreditation status, contents of its onsite team reports from accreditation-related visits, and/or actions taken by the Distance Education Accrediting Commission with respect to the institution.
- iv. All courses and programs are approved by DEAC before the institution advertises or enrolls students in them. The institution uses the term "College" or "University" in its name only if it offers academic degree programs.

c. Control of Student Recruitment Personnel

The institution demonstrates ethical processes and procedures are followed throughout the recruitment of prospective students. Recruitment personnel consists of any administrators, staff, faculty, or contractors who enroll prospective students. Minimum ethical practices and procedures are identified below.

- i. The institution has full responsibility for the actions, statements, and conduct of its student recruitment personnel. The institution maintains appropriate records, licensures, registrations, signed employment contract, and DEAC Code of Ethics as applicable for all recruitment personnel. The institution demonstrates it adequately trains its student recruitment personnel and provides them with accurate information concerning employment and remuneration. Recruitment personnel is provided with a sales manual or appropriate materials covering applicable procedures, policies, and presentations. The institution demonstrates it routinely monitors its student recruitment personnel or independent organizations who provide prospective applicant names to assure they are in compliance with all state, federal, and DEAC recruitment practices.
- ii. All student recruitment personnel, including telemarketing staff, conform to applicable federal and state laws, do not use any title that indicates special qualifications for career guidance, advising, or registration, and do not place

advertisements without the appropriate written authorization from the institution.

iii. If an institution provides incentives for making referrals, the incentive must not exceed a value of \$100 per year.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution adheres to high ethical standards throughout all advertising and recruitment practices. The institution ensures that students are not subjected to undue pressure at any time during the recruitment process. Students are provided with and have access to accurate and current information to make appropriate educational decisions that meets their academic goals. The institution appropriately represents its mission, educational offerings, and accreditation through accurate and consistent publication.

8) STANDARD VIII: ADMISSION PRACTICES AND ENROLLMENT AGREEMENTS

Introduction

Institutions are responsible for establishing admissions criteria requiring documentation that applicants possess the ability to be successful in a distance education environment. Admissions criteria provide institutions an initial indicator of an applicant's ability to perform the level of work required by the educational offerings. Institutions' admissions criteria reflect the mission, values, and student population served by the educational offerings. All admission practices and enrollment agreements meet established standards and ethically disclose all parties' obligations. This section identifies **seven (7) core components** of Standard VIII.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. Admissions Disclosures

Admissions policies and procedures are designed to assure the institution enrolls only those students who are reasonably capable of successfully completing and benefiting from the educational offering.

The institution informs each applicant, prior to admission, of the admissions criteria, the nature of the education provided, and the demands of the educational offerings. The institution requires students to affirm receipt of the catalog and other institutional documents disclosing the rights, responsibilities, and obligations of both the student and the institution prior to completing the enrollment process.

Institutions reasonably accommodate applicants and students with disabilities to the extent required by applicable law. [Business Standards – Page 4]

Official transcripts required for admission are received within one enrollment period not to exceed 12 semester credit hours or the student is withdrawn from the program.

b. STUDENT IDENTITY VERIFICATION

Admissions procedures initiate a Student identity authentication is initiated during the admissions process to verify that the admitted student who participates in and completes coursework and assessments is the same student who is awarded credit. [Accreditation Standards – Page 3]

c. Compulsory Age Students

An institution enrolling students under the compulsory school age obtain permission from responsible parties to assure the pursuit of the educational offerings is not detrimental to any compulsory schooling.

d. Admissions Criteria

The institution's admissions criteria aligns with its mission and student population served. The institution establishes qualifications that an applicant possesses prior to enrollment in order to successfully complete the educational offerings. The institution consistently and fairly applies its admission requirements. If an institution enrolls a student who does not meet the admissions criteria, the institution documents the basis for the admission decision.

- i. Transcripts not in English are evaluated by an appropriate third party and translated into English or evaluated by a trained transcript evaluator fluent in the language on the transcript. Evaluators possess expertise in the educational practices of the country of origin and include an English translation of the review.
- ii. The institution's admissions criteria discloses procedures for verifying appropriate language proficiencies. The institution verifies English language proficiency for applicants whose native language is not English and have not earned a degree from an appropriately accredited institution where English is the principal language of instruction. Verification procedures align with DEAC's Exhibit for English Language Proficiency Assessment

iii. Non-Degree Programs

The institution obtains official documentation (e.g., high school diploma, general educational development tests [GED], or self-certification statement) that applicants possess a high school diploma or its recognized equivalent at the time of admission.

<u>Institutions that implement self-certification procedures must:</u>

- Obtain a signed statement from the applicant attesting to a high school diploma or its recognized equivalent;
- Require applicants to provide the institution name, city, state, and year of graduation on the self-certification statement;
- Develop and follow procedures to evaluate the validity of high school completion, or its equivalent, if the
 institution has reason to believe that the documentation was not obtained from an entity that provides
 secondary school education (e.g., general educational development tests or GED); and
- Document such practices are necessary to be consistent with the institution's mission.

iv. Undergraduate Degrees

The institution obtains official documentation (e.g., high school diploma or general educational development tests [GED]) that applicants possess a high school diploma or its recognized equivalent at the time of admission. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 12] Institutions may implement self-certification in accordance with VIII.d.iii.

v. Master's Degrees

The institution obtains official documentation that applicants possess a Bachelor's degree earned from an appropriately accredited institution at the time of admission.

vi. FIRST PROFESSIONAL DEGREES

The institution obtains documentation that applicants possess a Bachelor's or Master's degree earned from an appropriately accredited institution at the time of admission.

vii. Professional Doctoral Degrees

The institution obtains documentation that applicants possess a Bachelor's or Master's degree earned from an appropriately accredited institution and relevant academic experience at the time of admission. At a minimum, the institution verifies applicants have completed 30 graduate-level credit hours prior to admission.

Additional information on admissions best practices for distance education institutions is found in Part Four: Appendices.

e. ADMISSION ACCEPTANCE AND DENIAL

The institution informs applicants of <u>admission</u> acceptance. The institution communicates to the applicant and documents the basis for any denial of admission. [Business Standards – Page 4]

f. Transfer Credits

The institution implements a fair and equitable transfer credit policy that is published in the catalog. The steps for requesting transfer credit are clear and disclose the documentation required for review. Students are able to appeal transfer credit decisions using published procedures. Transfer credit requests are not denied based solely on the source of accreditation of the credit-granting institution.

Credit awarded for experiential or equivalent learning, including challenge and test-out credits, cannot exceed 25% of the credits required for an undergraduate degree. Institutions maintain official documentation of the bases for decisions to award credit for experiential or equivalent learning.

An institution seeking to offer credit for prior learning assessment has published evaluation standards consistent with CAEL's Ten Standards for Assessing Learning. Prior learning assessment is <u>performed</u> <u>carried out</u> by qualified individuals with experience in the evaluation <u>of prior learning function</u>. [C.9. Policy on Degree Programs – Page 15]

i. HIGH SCHOOL

A maximum of 75% of the credits required for a high school program may be awarded for transfer credit.

ii. Undergraduate Degrees

A maximum of 75% of the credits required for a degree program may be awarded for transfer credit or a combination of transfer credit and experiential or equivalent credit (including challenge/test-out credits). Courses accepted for transfer credit are relevant to the program of study and equivalent in both content and degree level. Credit awarded for experiential or equivalent learning cannot exceed 25% of the credits required for a degree.

iii. MASTER'S DEGREES

A maximum of 50% of the credits required for a Master's degree program may be awarded through transfer credit. Courses accepted for transfer credit are relevant to the program of study and equivalent in both content and degree

level.

iv. FIRST PROFESSIONAL DEGREES

A maximum of 50% of the credits required for a First Professional degree program may be awarded through transfer credit. Courses accepted for transfer credit are relevant to the program of study and equivalent in both content and degree level.

v. Professional Doctoral Degrees

A maximum of 15% (or 9 semester credit hours for a 60 semester credit hour degree program) of the credits required for a Professional Doctoral degree program may be awarded through transfer credit. Courses accepted for transfer credit are relevant to the program of study and equivalent in both content and degree level.

g. ENROLLMENT AGREEMENTS

The institution's enrollment agreements/documents clearly identify the educational offering and assures each applicant is fully informed of the rights, responsibilities, and obligations of both the student and the institution prior to applicant signature. The institution complies with the Enrollment Agreements Disclosures Check List.

- i. The institution requires students to affirm the tuition refund policy and discloses the rights, responsibilities, and obligations of both the student and the institution prior to accepting the enrollment agreement. The terms of the tuition refund policy are published on the institution's enrollment agreement, catalog, and website.
- ii. An enrollment agreement is not binding until it has been submitted by the student and accepted by the institution. A copy of the accepted enrollment agreement is made available to the student within 10 days of acceptance and maintained as a part of the student's record.
- iii. The institution complies with all applicable Truth-In-Lending Act ("TILA") requirements, including those under Regulation Z, and state and local requirements for retail installment agreements.
- iv. All required state, local and Truth-In-Lending Act disclosures are included on the enrollment agreement. Requirements for type size, notice to buyer and computations examples, as applicable, are observed.
- v. If there is a separate payment contract, the contract is incorporated into the enrollment agreement.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution demonstrates a commitment to ethical enrollment practices through fair and transparent admission policies. The institution implements appropriate procedures to assure enrolled students possess the capacity to successfully complete and benefit from the educational offering. The institution discloses all admission, tuition, and refund information and makes every effort to assure students fully understand the obligations of both the institution and the student. The institution's enrollment agreements are available for students to review and provide the scope and nature of the educational offerings.

9) STANDARD IX: REQUIRED FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES, CANCELLATIONS, AND REFUND POLICIES

Introduction

Institutions establish equitable tuition, cancellation, refund, and collection policies and procedures. All tuition costs and instructional fees, including textbooks, are readily available to students. Tuition and refund policies are disclosed on all enrollment agreements. Collection procedures are conducted ethically and consideration is given in order to retain students' good will. Institutions' tuition, refund, and collection policies are administered consistently and fairly. This section identifies **five (5) core components** of Standard IX.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. REQUIRED FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

All costs relative to the education provided by the institution are disclosed to the prospective student [on an enrollment agreement or similar contractual document] before enrollment. Costs must include tuition, educational services, textbooks, and instructional materials and any specific fees associated with enrollment such as application and registration fees, and fees for required services such as student authentication, proctoring, technology access, and library services.

- i. The costs for optional services, such as expedited shipment of materials, experiential portfolio assessment, or other special services such as dissertation binding are clearly disclosed to prospective students as not subject to refund after the five (5) calendar day student-right-to-cancel enrollment.
- ii. The institution's disclosure of its refund policy must include a sample refund calculation that describes the calculation methodology using clear and conspicuous language. Student acknowledgement of the refund policy is

obtained and documented on the enrollment agreement or similar contractual document prior to enrollment.

b. CANCELLATIONS

Student notification of cancellation may be conveyed to the institution in any manner. A student has five (5) calendar days after signing an enrollment agreement or similar contractual document to cancel enrollment and receive a full refund of all monies paid to the institution.

- i. A student requesting cancellation more than 5 calendar days after signing an enrollment agreement, but prior to beginning a course or program is entitled to a refund of all monies paid minus:
 - an application/transfer credit evaluation fee of up to \$75 and
 - a one-time registration fee per program of no more than 20% of the tuition and not to exceed more than \$200.
 - <u>library service fees, if provided by a third party service (e.g., LIRN, Westlaw, ProQuest).</u>
- ii. Upon cancellation, a student whose costs for education are paid in full, but not eligible for a refund is entitled to receive all materials, including kits and equipment.
- iii. If promissory notes or enrollment agreements are sold to third parties, the institution ensures that it and any third parties comply with DEAC cancellation policies.

c. <u>Refunds</u>

Each institution must have and implement a fair and equitable refund policy in compliance with state requirements, or in the absence of such requirements, in accordance with DEAC's refund policy standards below and disclosed on the enrollment agreement or similar contractual document.

Any money due a student must be refunded within 30 days of a cancellation request, regardless if materials have been returned.

i. FLEXIBLE TIME SCHEDULE REFUND POLICY

Institutions that implement the Flexible Time Schedule Refund Policy must clearly disclose the curriculum benchmarks in terms of assignments submitted for grading that indicate completion at 10%, 25%, and 50% intervals.

When a student cancels after completing at least one lesson assignment but less than 50% of the graded assignments, the institution may retain the application fee and one-time registration fee of no more than 20% of the tuition not to exceed \$200, <u>library service fees</u>, plus a percentage of tuition paid by the student in accordance with the following schedule:

Percentage Completed by the Student	Percentage of Tuition Returned to the Student Minus the Application and/or Registration Fee	Percentage of Tuition Retained by the Institution
Up to 10%	90%	10%
>10% - 25%	75%	25%
>25% - 50%	50%	50%
>50% - 100%	0%	100%

ii. <u>TIME-BASED TERM R</u>EFUND POLICY

A Time-Based Term has beginning and ending dates for no more than 16 weeks in length.

A Time-Based Term refund policy may be applied to any course, program, or degree. Institutions that utilize the Time-Based Term Refund Policy must refund 100% of the tuition for any course never started. Institutions that implement the Time-Based Term Refund Policy must clearly disclose the time-based refund schedule on the enrollment agreement.

When enrolling students in an academic program of study comprised of two or more courses that award semester credit hours, institutions must treat each course separately for the purposes of calculating the appropriate amount of tuition refund owed to the student.

When a student cancels enrollment the institution may retain the application fee and a one-time registration fee of no more than 20% of the tuition not to exceed \$200, <u>library service fees</u>, plus a percentage of tuition paid by the student in accordance with the following refund schedule:

Length of Term	Percentage of T	uition Returned to the
	Student Minus the Application and/or Registration Fee AFTER	
1-6 weeks	1 st week	70%
	2 nd week	40%
	3 rd week	20%
	4 th week	0%
7-10 weeks	1st week	80%
	2 nd week	60%
	3 rd week	40%
	4 th week	20%
	5 th week	0%
11-16 weeks	1 st week	80%
	2 nd week	70%
	3 rd week	60%
	4 th week	50%
	5 th week	40%
	6 th week	30%
	7 th week	20%
	8 th week	10%
	9 th week	0%

iii. REFUND POLICY FOR IN-RESIDENCE COURSES/PROGRAMS

For a course/program that includes mandatory in-residence training, the costs for the distance study portion and the costs for the in-residence portion must be separately stated on the enrollment agreement.

The distance study portion of the combination course/program must use the refund policy stated in IX.c.i. or IX.c.ii. above. If mandatory in-residence portion of the course/program is more than 6 weeks, the institution may use the time-based refund policy in IX.c.ii. If the in-residence portion is less than 6 weeks, the institution may use the flexible time schedule refund policy in IX.c.i.

If a student requests cancellation after attending the first in-residence class session the institution may retain the application fee and a one-time registration fee of no more than 20% of the tuition not to exceed \$200, library

service fees, plus a percentage of tuition paid by the student in accordance with the following refund schedule:

Percentage Completed by the Student	Percentage of Tuition Returned to the Student Minus the Application and/or Registration Fee	Percentage of Tuition Retained by the Institution
Up to 10%	90%	10%
>10% - 25%	75%	25%
>25% - 50%	50%	50%
>50% - 100%	0%	100%

Courses with optional in-residence training, seminars, and other training sessions are subject to the refund policy above. [Business Standards – Pages 5-8]

d. DISCOUNTS

Discounted costs are permitted for well-defined groups for specific and bona fide purposes.

Discounted costs must indicate the actual reduction in the costs that would otherwise be charged by the institution. Institutions that offer discounts must demonstrate that students are enrolled in non-discounted courses or programs for a reasonably substantial period of time during each calendar year. Institutions offering discounts must calculate refunds based on discounted costs.

Institutions that offer discounts must demonstrate that:

- All discounts or special offers identify the specific costs for a course or program.
- The presentation of discounts and special offers complies with DEAC's advertising and promotion standards.
- All discounts (excluding well-defined groups) or special offers designate a specific expiration date and do not extend beyond the expiration date.

e. Collections

Collection procedures used by the institution or third parties reflect sound and ethical business practices. Tuition collection practices and procedures are fair, encourage students' progress, and seek to retain their good will. Collection practices consider the comparable rights and interests of the students and institution.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution discloses its tuition, cancellation, refund, and collection policies and procedures prior to student enrollment. All cancellation and refunds are processed promptly upon notification by the student in any manner. The institution maintains student good will throughout the collections process and assures students are aware of the responsibilities and obligations of both the institution and student.

10) STANDARD X: INSTITUTIONAL GOVERNANCE

INTRODUCTION

Institutions' governance structure provides sufficient administrative oversight, capability, and stability in the efficient and effective use of institutional resources. Institutions demonstrate adequate management, administrative capacity, succession and business continuity planning to provide assurances it can accomplish its mission in a manner consistent with its values while fulfilling its obligations to students. This section identifies **three** (3) **core components** of Standard X.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. OWNERS, GOVERNING BOARD MEMBERS, OFFICIALS, AND ADMINISTRATORS

The owners, governing board members, officials, and administrators possess appropriate qualifications and experience for their positions and ability to oversee institutional operations. The owners, governing board members, officials, and administrators are knowledgeable and experienced in one or more aspects of educational administration, finance, teaching/learning, and distance study. The institution's policies clearly delineate the duties and responsibilities of owners, governing board members, officials, and administrators. Individuals in leadership and managerial positions are qualified by education and experience.

b. REPUTATION OF INSTITUTION, OWNERS, GOVERNING BOARD MEMBERS, OFFICIALS, AND ADMINISTRATORS

The institution and its owners, governing board members, officials, and administrators possess sound reputations, a record of integrity, and ethical conduct in their professional activities, business operations, and relations.

c. Succession Plan

The institution's written plan describes the process followed in the event a leadership succession is necessary. The plan identifies specific people, committees, or boards responsible to carry on the operation of the institution during the transition period. The plan includes a business continuity structure that can be implemented immediately. The plan is reviewed and revised on an annual basis.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution's governance assures ethical decision-making processes in the efficient and effective use of institutional resources enabling the achievement of strategic initiatives. The institution's governance structure is appropriately qualified and possesses the experience necessary to support the mission, values, and growth of the institution. The institution's governance supports the mission and values through the implementation of collaborative and continuous improvements that provide for the delivery of high quality distance education. The institution's governance demonstrates a commitment to all stakeholders by developing a succession and continuity plan that is reviewed annually to assure ongoing institutional operations.

11) STANDARD XI: FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

INTRODUCTION

Institutions demonstrate financial capability and stability to meet accreditation standards on a continuous basis. Institutions possess adequate financial resources in order to meet its mission and values while delivering high quality educational offerings. Institutions retain qualified and knowledgeable financial leadership to assure continued growth and sustainability. This section identifies **five** (5) **core components** of Standard XI.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. <u>FINANCIAL PRACTICES</u>

The institution shows it is financially responsible by complete, comparative financial statements covering its two most recent fiscal years and it has sufficient resources to meet its financial obligations to provide quality instruction and service to its students. Financial statements are audited or reviewed and prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting

principles in the United States of America. The institution's budgeting processes demonstrate that current and future budgeted operating results are sufficient to allow the institution to accomplish its mission and goals.

b. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Individuals overseeing the fiscal and budgeting processes are qualified by education and experience. The institution employs adequate administrative staff for effective operations, and at least one person is qualified and able to prepare accurate financial reports in a timely manner. Internal auditing trails and controls are in place to assure finances are properly managed, monitored, and protected. Adequate safeguards prevent unauthorized access to online and onsite financial information.

c. Financial Stability and Sustainability

The institution maintains adequate administrative staff and other resources to operate effectively as a going concern and is not exposed to undue or insurmountable risk. Any risk that exists is adequately monitored, manageable, and insured. In the event the financial operations of the institution are supported by a parent company or a third party, <u>audited or reviewed</u> financial statements for the supporting entity and evidence of a continuing financial commitment is provided to 1) demonstrate that the supporting entity possesses sufficient financial resources and 2) commitment to provide the institution continued financial sustainability.

d. FINANCIAL REPORTING

Financial statements are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America often referred to as "GAAP," including the accrual method of accounting. An independent CPA's audit or review report accompanies these statements.

- i. The institution's financial statements reflect sufficient liquid assets to provide for a staff and faculty.
- ii. Annually, the institution has the option of submitting one of these two types of financial statements, unless the Commission has directed that an audited statement must be submitted:
 - Audited comparative financial statements containing an audit opinion by an independent certified public
 accountant in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public
 Accountants, or An audited financial statement containing an audit opinion by an independent, certified
 public accountant in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public

Accountants. [C.10. Policy on Financial Statements – Page 1]

- Reviewed comparative financial statements containing a review report by an independent certified public accountant in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A reviewed financial statement containing a review report by an independent, certified public accountant in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. [C.10. Policy on Financial Statements Page 1]
- vi. Statements submitted must include the institution's most recent fiscal year end or date specified by the Commission, the CPA's opinion letter or review report, and include a letter of financial statement validation. See Part Four: Glossary for what is expected to be included in financial statements.

e. Demonstrated Operations

In all respects, the institution documents continuous sound and ethical operations including the necessary resources to accommodate demand and assure all learners receive a quality educational experience. The institution's name is free from any association with activity that could damage the reputation of the DEAC accrediting process, such as illegal actions, fraud, unethical conduct, or abuse of consumers. The institution offers a completely developed curriculum in which students have been enrolled for a minimum of two consecutive years under the present ownership.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution employs experienced financial leadership and possesses the capacity to meet accreditation standards. Effective business practices are exhibited through fiscally responsible policies and procedures designed to assure ongoing stability. The institution follows generally accepted accounting principles that guide all financial and reporting practices. The institution demonstrates adequate financial management that promotes financial sustainability.

12) STANDARD XII: FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, SUPPLIES, RECORD PROTECTION AND RETENTION

INTRODUCTION

Institutions maintain facilities, equipment, and supplies that promote and support its mission and values. Institutions' procedures for determining budgets assure financial resources are adequate to support continued growth and provide a safe work environment for faculty and staff. Institutions demonstrate adequate protection for all records and implement appropriate retention policies as required by applicable federal and state laws. Institutional facilities, equipment, supplies, record protection

and retention procedures meet standard educational, administrative, business, and legal practices. This section identifies **three** (3) **core components** of Standard XII.

CORE COMPONENTS

a. FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLIES

The institution maintains sufficient facilities, equipment, and supplies to achieve its mission and values and support its educational offerings and future growth. A written plan outlines the maintenance and upgrade of facilities, equipment, supplies, and includes a disaster response and recovery plan. The plan states the resources that are budgeted to support its goals. Buildings, workspace, and equipment comply with local fire, building, health, and safety regulations and are adequately equipped to handle the educational program(s) of the institution.

i. <u>In-Residence Program Component</u>

The institution provides appropriate housing and training facilities for students participating in in-residence training. The facilities are in compliance with all state and federal requirements. The institution maintains adequate insurance to protect students, faculty, and staff while participating in in-residence training.

b. RECORD PROTECTION

Institutional financial, administrative, and students' educational records are maintained in a reasonably accessible place and are adequately protected as long as they are likely to be needed in accordance with applicable federal and state laws.

- i. If maintaining documents electronically, the institution provides audit records to verify the images were properly created and validated.
- ii. If an institution accepts digitally signed transcripts or electronically transferred verified data from an outside source, the institution documents the outside source using a system that provides registration and verification of participants, protocols for securely sending and receiving files, logging of file transmissions, and electronic notification. The outside source complies with all applicable laws and regulations governing the activities and services provided, including FERPA and other laws concerning the privacy and confidentiality of information and records.

c. RECORD RETENTION

<u>Institutional financial</u>, administrative, and students' educational records are retained in accordance with applicable federal

and state laws. The institution implements a comprehensive document retention policy.

IMPACT STATEMENT

A DEAC accredited institution maintains sufficient physical and fiscal resources and support systems to deliver quality distance education programs that enables students to achieve their educational goals. The physical facilities promote the safety and welfare of all faculty and administrative support staff. Individuals in leadership roles are appropriately prepared by education and experience to develop written facilities and emergency action plans to support the mission, growth, and sustainability of the institution. Institutions demonstrate a commitment to ethical business practices by maintaining institutional records, student records, and student privacy in accordance with applicable federal and state laws.